

## **MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING, TOWN & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE**

Held on Friday 7<sup>th</sup> June 2019 at 10.00am at Ringwood Gateway, The Furlong, Ringwood.

PRESENT: Cllr Philip Day (Chairman)  
Cllr Rae Frederick (Vice Chairman)  
Cllr Andrew Briers  
Cllr Gareth Deboos  
Cllr Peter Kelleher (*until 12.58pm*)  
Cllr Darren Loose (*until 12.30pm*)  
Cllr Gloria O'Reilly  
Cllr Tony Ring  
Cllr Glenys Turner (*from 10.23am*)

IN ATTENDANCE: Jo Hurd, Deputy Town Clerk  
Nicola Vodden, Meetings Administrator  
Cllr Jeremy Heron (*until 11.05am*)

ABSENT: Cllr Christine Ford  
Cllr Hilary Edge

*At the start of the meeting, the Chairman welcomed the new Members to the Planning Committee.*

### **P/5607 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

One member of the public was present for the outcome of a planning application.

### **P/5608 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

The Deputy Town Clerk reported that apologies for absence had been received from Cllr Ford.

### **P/5609 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

In respect of planning application 19/10536 Cllrs Ring and Day wished to make Members aware that the applicants are personal friends, although this was not a declarable pecuniary interest.

In respect of planning application 19/10427 & 428, Cllr Day (as a member of Ringwood Events Team) highlighted that the premises had been lent to the team on two occasions for events in the town.

### **P/5610 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING**



**RESOLVED:** That the minutes of the Meetings held on 26<sup>th</sup> April 2019 and 15<sup>th</sup> May 2019, having been circulated, be approved and signed as a correct record.

**P/5611  
PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

*With the agreement of Members and for the benefit of the member of the public present, application 19/10536 was brought forward and the Snails Lane application (18/11606) followed.*

*Cllr Turner joined the meeting at 10.23am.*

*With the agreement of Members and for the benefit of Cllr Heron, who was in attendance, the agenda item relating to the NFDC Local Plan (P/5612) was interposed at this stage.*

The remainder of the applications were dealt with in list order.

**RESOLVED:** That the observations summarised in *Annex A* be submitted and the decisions made under delegated powers be noted.

<b>ACTION Nicola Vodden</b>
-----------------------------

**P/5612  
NFDC LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION**

Members considered the Deputy Town Clerk's report (*Annex B*).

The Chairman provided some background information on NFDC's emerging Local Plan, which is currently before the Inspector for examination and includes two sites for development, one behind The Elm Tree and the other north of Moortown Lane. He explained the consultation process so far and that the Council had reserved the right to speak at the public examination.

Cllr Heron explained that the landowner's proposal to exclude the access road to the north, through Forest Gate Business Park is a concern. This will increase the volume of traffic using Moortown Lane and have a major impact at its junction with Christchurch Road.

Density is another issue and it will be argued that in order to deliver 50% affordable homes there would need to be an increase in the density of housing to make the site viable. Indeed, the landowner had already indicated that the site has the capacity to deliver around 600 homes. However, it could be disputed that more houses would increase the chance of low-cost housing for young people.

Although access and density were concerns, it was felt that the Council was not in a position to argue these matters from a technical perspective and should focus on a key factor that it could influence, i.e. the proposed use of land south of Moortown Lane for a primary school. This land is outside of proposed development site, designated Green



Belt, used as playing fields and an unsuitable site for a school. The town does not currently meet its quota for formal Open Space and to lose part of the playing fields and use it as SANGS to justify the housing to the north is unacceptable.

It was agreed that the Chairman speak at the public examination and notes be prepared in advance. Members were asked to view the relevant documents available online and provide comments for inclusion.

**RESOLVED:** That the Council make representations at the Examination of NFDC Local Plan on 15<sup>th</sup> July 2019.

<b>ACTION Jo Hurd</b>
-----------------------

*Cllr Heron left the meeting at 11.05am.*

**P/5613  
LAMPPOST BANNERS**

Members considered the Deputy Town Clerk's report in respect of lamppost banners (*Annex C*). She gave further details on the licences and planning permission required, income generated since they have been available for use and advised of the costs involved in regularising the position.

A discussion followed on the benefits of having the facility to advertise events and inform people about what was happening in the town, but it was difficult to determine income generated or the increase in footfall which could be directly attributed to the banners. Costs to the Council exceeded the fees charged, however some felt that it was important for this service to be provided.

It was decided that the appropriate planning permissions be applied for however there was no budget for the costs involved and would require referral to the Policy and Finance Committee. Members also felt that there should be a review of the fees charged but that this be brought forward at a future meeting, when the planning permission is obtained.

**RESOLVED:** 1) That the Council apply for planning consent for the temporary erection of lamppost banners to promote community events in 12 locations around the town;  
2) That the Council apply for planning consent for the permanent erection of a lamppost banner to promote Carvers and Carvers Clubhouse;  
3) That the Council apply for planning consent for the permanent display of the 2 lamppost banners promoting the Charter Market; and  
4) That there be no review, at this time, of how the Council currently manages the removal of banners on property in the ownership of the District and County Councils.

**RECOMMENDATION TO POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE:** That the cost of submitting two planning applications (for temporary and permanent banners) of £462 be funded from reserves.



**ACTION Jo Hurd**

*The meeting was adjourned at 12.30pm for a short break and resumed at 12.40pm without Cllrs Loose and Turner. Cllr Loose did not re-join the meeting.*

**P/5614**

**FLOOD ACTION WORKING PARTY / FLOOD WARDENS**

The Deputy Town Clerk indicated that this item had been deferred at the Annual Meeting on 15<sup>th</sup> May and confirmed that the co-opted members were happy to continue in the role. She requested Members be appointed.

**RESOLVED:** 1) That Cllrs Deboos and O'Reilly be appointed to the Flood Action Working Party; and  
2) That Cllr O'Reilly be appointed as Flood Warden.

**ACTION Jo Hurd**

*Cllr Turner re-joined the meeting at 12.50am.*

**P/5615**

**PROJECTS**

C2 - A31 Improvement Scheme - HCC had confirmed that they were in the process of appointing a design team to work on the various town centre access improvements, which are to be funded from Highways England designated funds. The same design team will also take on the shared use link across Carvers and the footpath which will link the northeast corner of the Linden Homes development to the footpath west of Crow Lane.

C1 – Pedestrian Crossings Christchurch Road – It was noted that although the A338 works were not complete, that two lanes were open southbound and there had been an effect on the amount of traffic diverting down Christchurch Road. Members requested the Deputy Town Clerk report this back to HCC.

A3 – Human Sundial – The Deputy Town Clerk outlined the extent of the project and the arrangement to co-ordinate the works with HCC. She reported that a meeting had taken place with Cllr Ring and the stonemason and that HCC had now confirmed costings. The total cost of the project is £10,657 (exceeding the £8,000 budget allocated from the CIL receipts). This includes the cost of the stone, the sundial maker, removable benches and installation and exceeds the budget allocated from the CIL receipts. It was proposed that additional CIL funds be used to cover the shortfall and agreed that a recommendation be made to the Policy and Finance Committee.

The Deputy Town Clerk explained that due to delays in receiving costings and the required lead-in times, a start date of late September was proposed. She requested that Members authorise the ordering of the stone and this was agreed.

The cost to install a socket for the Christmas tree is £4,500 and thought unaffordable at this time. Members suggested that there may be other sources of funding available and would make some enquiries.



*Cllr Kelleher left the meeting at 12.58pm.*

**RESOLVED:** 1) That the update on projects (Annex D) be received; and  
2) That the stone for the Human Sundial project be ordered.

**RECOMMENDED TO POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE:** That the budget for the Human Sundial project be increased to £10,657, with the additional £2,657 to be funded from CIL receipts.

<b>ACTION</b> Jo Hurd
-----------------------

There being no further business, the Chairman closed the meeting at 1.16pm.

RECEIVED  
26<sup>th</sup> June 2019

APPROVED  
5<sup>th</sup> July 2019

TOWN MAYOR

COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN

**Note: The text in the Action Boxes above does not form part of these minutes.**



Annex A to Planning, Town Environment Committee Minutes 7th June 2019  
**Ringwood Town Council - Planning Observations - NFDC**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Site Address</b>	<b>Proposal</b>	<b>Observation</b>	<b>Comments</b>
18/11606	Land Off, Snails Lane, Blashford, Ellingham Harbridge & Ibsley BH24 3PG	Outline planning application for the demolition of existing outbuildings and the erection of up to 143 dwellings (including 50% affordable housing), public open space, recreation mitigation land, landscaping, sustainable drainage systems (Suds) and two vehicular access points from Snails Lane and Salisbury Road (Environmental Impact Application Development and effects a Public Right of Way). All matters reserved except for means of access.		The Committee agreed that its original observations remained relevant and that the submission of additional information, particularly the Environmental Impact Statement, did not address the concerns identified.
19/10427	23/23A Market Place, Ringwood. BH24 1AN	Use rear ground floor as two flats; remove & create walls; new windows; remove separating wall to reinstate as two retail shops (Application for Listed Building Consent)	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

Number	Site Address	Proposal	Observation	Comments
19/10428	23/23A Market Place, Ringwood. BH24 1AN	Use rear ground floor as two flats	Permission (1)	
19/10498	4, Elmsdown Court, Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1JE	Roof alterations in association with new second floor; front dormer	Officer Decision (5)	
19/10507	36A Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1JD	1 terrace of 3 houses; carport; parking & access; demolition of existing	Refusal (2)	Members maintained that the design was out of keeping and supported the Conservation Officer's comments.
19/10518	22, Woodford Close, Ringwood. BH24 1UT	Two-storey front and side extensions; rooflights	Refusal (2)	Members felt that the proposal would result in overcrowding and have a detrimental impact on the street scene.
19/10520	46, Fairlie, Ringwood. BH24 1TS	Two-storey rear extension and associated works	Refusal (2)	Members had no objection to the proposal in principle, provided that the Parking Standards are satisfied.
19/10536	21, Parsonage Barn Lane, Ringwood. BH24 1PS	Ground Floor Extension to Rear and Extended New Cut Roof Forming 1st Floor. Also, pitched roof to existing garage.	Permission (1)	
19/10556	Brook Close, Salisbury Road, Blashford, Ringwood. BH24 3PB	Use as garden land (Lawful Use Certificate for retaining an existing use or operation)	No comment.	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

Number	Site Address	Proposal	Observation	Comments
19/10611	Land rear of 70, Eastfield Lane, Ringwood. BH24 1UN	2 detached bungalows; parking, access and landscaping; demolition of existing garage	Refusal (2)	Members felt that the proposal was cramped and the site would be overdeveloped. It was also out of keeping with the character of the locality considering the density of buildings. There were concerns in relation to the access on to the highway, with the bus stop opposite and proximity of the 'pinch point'. The provision of only 2 parking spaces for the existing 4-bed property would be contrary to NFDC Parking Standards.
19/10612	Land adjacent Willow Nook, Parkers Close, Ringwood. BH24 1SD	Dwelling; detached garage; parking and landscaping	Refusal (2)	Members supported the comments of the Planning Officer, in that they were not satisfied that the proposal promotes a high standard of design.
19/10620	37, Quomp, Ringwood. BH24 1NT	Two-storey rear extension	Refusal (2)	The Committee supported the Conservation Officer's views.
19/10623	2 Lychgate Court, Crow, Ringwood. BH24 3DZ	Single-storey rear extension	Permission (1)	
19/10624	Land rear of 41, Manor Road, Ringwood. BH24 1RB	Detached bungalow; access from Green Lane; parking	Permission (1)	
19/10626	High Corner, Christchurch Road, Kingston, Ringwood. BH24 3BQ	Detached outbuilding	Refusal (4)	Members felt that the proposal was too large, bulky, out of keeping with the area, given its elevated position and did not appear as incidental to the main dwelling.
19/10636	Lynes Corner, Nouale Lane, Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 3EL	Detached house;garage & store; demolition of existing	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision



Number	Site Address	Proposal	Observation	Comments
19/10639	Unit 1, Doughty Building, Crow Arch Lane, Ringwood. BH24 1NZ	Single-storey extension & side canopy; demolition of existing store	Permission (1)	
19/10640	Land adjacent to 10, Coniston Road, Ringwood. BH24 1PF	House	Refusal (2)	The Committee had concerns with the design and appearance of the proposal and felt that it was out of keeping with the street scene and given its prominent location. There were also issues with overlooking for the property opposite.
19/10643	77, Wessex Estate, Ringwood. BH24 1XD	Single-storey rear extension	Permission (1)	
CONS/19/0360	The Old Beams Inn, Salisbury Road, Ibsley, Ringwood. BH24 3PP	Conifer x 1 fell	Officer Decision (5)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

## Ringwood Town Council - Planning Observations - NFNPA

<b>Number</b>	<b>Site Address</b>	<b>Proposal</b>	<b>Observation</b>	<b>Comments</b>
19/00267	Providence, Crow Hill, Crow, Ringwood. BH24 3DH	Single storey extension	Permission (1)	
19/00268	Providence, Crow Hill, Crow, Ringwood. BH24 3DH	1 no. new dwelling; 2.no parking spaces; creation of access; associated landscaping (Revised design to extant planning permission reference RFR 15837)	Permission (1)	
19/00298	Yew Tree Cottage, Linford Road, Hangersley, Ringwood. BH24 3JN	Detached garage/workshop and garden store (Demolition of existing outbuildings)	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

Number	Site Address	Proposal	Observation	Comments
CONS/19/0345	Fieldings, Hangersley Hill, Hangersley, Ringwood. BH24 3JN	Prune 1 x group of 4 Douglas Fir trees and 1 Oak tree Prune 3 x Monterey Cypress trees Prune 1 x group of 4 Douglas Fir trees Fell 1 x Douglas Fir tree Prune 1 x Oak tree Fell 1 x Goat Willow tree Prune 1 x English Oak tree Fell 1 x group of 4 Holly trees Prune 1 x group of Holly trees	Officer Decision (5)	
CONS/19/0364	Oakapple Farm, Linford Road, North Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 3HZ	Fell 1 x Group of approximately 15 Sycamore trees	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

Applications decided under delegated powers : to be noted

On 16<sup>th</sup> March 2018 at 10:00 am

Number	Applicant	Site Address	Proposal
19/10472	Mr Williams	1, Top Lane, Ringwood.	Two storey extension 1/5/19: RTC recommendation:- P(1) Recommend Permission, but would accept Planning Officer's decision.

## PLANNING, TOWN & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE – 7 JUNE 2019

### NEW FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION

1. In August 2018, the Town Council responded to consultation on the New Forest District Council Local Plan Review 2016 – 2036, Part One: Planning Strategy (*P/5526 – PTE Committee 03/08/2018 refers; also relevant P/5517 – PTE Committee 06/07/2018 and C/6181 – Full Council 25/07/2018*).
2. It was subsequently agreed that the Town Council should be registered to take part in the Local Plan Examination on Matter 11c – Strategic Site Allocations: Avon Valley and Downlands, and specifically on 15 July 2019 when the two Ringwood sites (13 – land at Moortown Lane and 14 – land to the north of Hightown Road) will be considered (*C/6265 – Full Council 27/02/2019 refers*).
3. The timetable for the Examination on Monday 15 July shows the following participants for each strategic site:

SS13 – Land at Moortown Lane

New Forest District Council  
Hampshire County Council Property  
Ken Parke Planning for Wrightway Farming  
Ringwood Town Council

SS14 – Land to the north of Hightown Road

New Forest District Council  
A Better Ringwood  
Barton Willmore for Taylor Wimpey  
Cathy Kewley  
Ringwood Town Council

The session begins at 9.30am and the full day is scheduled for discussion of both sites.

4. At the Council meeting on 27 February, the benefit of attending the Examination was questioned and it was considered that, if questions raised by this Council had been adequately addressed, there may be no need to attend.
5. There are hundreds of documents associated with the Examination, many of them lengthy, but from an initial scan of some of those documents, answers to some of the nine comments raised by this Council are outlined below (*Town Council comments in italic*). All documents are available to view on the District Council's website: [https://forms.newforest.gov.uk/ufsac/ufsmain?formid=POLICY\\_FILES&CURRENTP ATH=Policy&ebz=3\\_1559042840131&ebd=0&ebp=10&ebz=3\\_1559042840131](https://forms.newforest.gov.uk/ufsac/ufsmain?formid=POLICY_FILES&CURRENTP ATH=Policy&ebz=3_1559042840131&ebd=0&ebp=10&ebz=3_1559042840131)). It would take a significant amount of officer time to review all relevant documents in full.
  - i) *It is recommended that SS14 (Land to the north of Hightown Road) should be developed before SS13 (Land at Moortown Lane), which is designated Green Belt land, but that neither site should be developed without the supporting road infrastructure being put in place first, in order to alleviate traffic congestion.*

Based on the current position of the site promoters, it is expected that SS14 will be developed first.

# B

*ii) It is essential that the new junction from the A31 is created prior to development of SS14.*

The site promoter has undertaken early engagement with Hampshire County Council and Highways England and the proposed access from the A31 is considered both acceptable and deliverable.

*iii) It is recommended that the maximum number of dwellings for each site should be defined.*

In their written statements, the site promoters are suggesting that both sites have the capacity to deliver additional dwellings.

SS13 – draft policy states at least 480 – site promoter suggests around 600  
SS14 – draft policy states at least 270 – site promoter suggests around 400

*iv) Measures should be put in place to protect the Green Belt from further erosion and provision must be made for suitable alternative land to compensate for the potential loss of Open Space/sports facilities, resulting from the proposal to reserve the north-west corner of the plot to the south of Moortown Lane for a school.*

Both the site promoter and Hampshire County Council suggest that there may be more effective or alternative means of delivering SANGS (Suitable Alternative Natural Green Space), public open space and the reserve site for a primary school. They propose that the policy should be more flexible to allow a site in their ownership (east of Crow Lane and north of Moortown Lane) to be considered.

*v) It is recommended that the area allocated for employment development on SS14, adjacent to A31, be considered for housing.*

The site promoter suggests that the draft policy should provide for greater flexibility in terms of site layout, and that shown should be indicative only. A Better Ringwood suggests an alternative layout to protect the existing landscape character and local distinctiveness, and to benefit existing as well as new residents.

*vi) The building line on the western boundary of SS13 should be moved to create a buffer of open space and provide a level of protection to existing properties on Christchurch Road, where there is significant risk of overlooking due to the proximity. This is unlike SS14 where the building line is a distance from existing dwellings on Eastfield Lane and shows new housing separated by an area of open space.*

The site promoter proposes a new site layout due to flood protection mitigation. In their indicative zoning masterplan, the dwellings along the western boundary would be low density, 2-storey dwellings consisting of larger detached and semi-detached family homes with spacious gardens and garaging.

*vii) It is essential that confirmation is sought from Wessex Water that the sewage treatment works can be expanded (at Hampshire Hatches) to cope with the increased capacity required from the new development proposals, and that the pipework from the pumping station at Bickerley to the sewage treatment works will be upgraded. If the required improvements cannot be achieved, this would result in the Plan being unsound.*

The District Council states that both sites require provision of a new connection to the Ringwood Sewage Treatment Works. This need was identified following informal infrastructure provider consultations during preparation of the Local Plan.

*viii) The proposed vehicle accesses to SS13 from Moortown Lane should be reviewed, taking into account proposed development of the recreation facilities to the south, which would require an additional entrance to the east of Long Lane.*

The site promoter proposes a new main access to the east of Long Lane, very near to a possible future entrance to the improved recreation facilities. This will require further consideration.

*ix) Ringwood School has made representation to the Council that the school has no capacity to accommodate additional applicants arising from new housing development. It is expected that the School will respond to this consultation with supporting evidence of this position, and this is supported by the Town Council.*

Hampshire County Council has not raised any objections regarding the capacity for expansion of the local school. It would appear that Ringwood School did not respond to the consultation.

**6. Issues for Decision**

**6.1 *Does the Council wish to make representation at the Local Plan Examination on 15 July 2019?***

**6.2 *f so, who should be appointed to attend and speak?***

For further information, please contact:

Mrs Jo Hurd  
Deputy Town Clerk  
01425 484721  
jo.hurd@ringwood.gov.uk

## PLANNING, TOWN & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE – 7 JUNE 2019

### LAMPPOST BANNERS

#### 1. Background

1.1 In 2015, the Council agreed to the use of lamppost banners for advertising community events (RL&OS 04/02/2015 – OS/5529 and P&F 18/02/2015 – F/5209) in an effort to reduce the proliferation of roadside banners and signs around the town, and to support local events.

1.2 The Council purchased brackets for 12 lampposts and obtained consent from SSE to erect banners on those lampposts (this consent must be renewed on an annual basis).

1.3 Following a trial, in 2016 the Council introduced charges for organisations wishing to use the lampposts to cover the cost of staff time to erect and take down the banners (RL&OS – 02/11/2016 – OS/5629). Charges agreed at that time were as follows:

Car Park area only (4 banners)	£60	or	£40 for local charitable groups
Town wide (10 banners)	£150	or	£100 for local charitable groups

It should be noted that no consideration was given at that time to the cost of staff time to liaise with organisations; obtain consent from SSE (annually); and to obtain a licence from Hampshire County, which is required for every event.

1.4 In 2017 the Council was advised by SSE that a requirement of its consent was to obtain structural tests on each of the lampposts to be used in order to confirm the suitability and safety of the columns to take the additional load from the banners. This consent was also required for the 4 posts in the Market Place that Christmas lights are attached to. The testing is required on a bi-annual basis and the quotation for this year is £560 (£500 for the 4 posts in the Market Place, plus an additional £60 to include all 12 banner posts).

1.5 The Council is now required to apply for a banner licence from Hampshire County Council each time it wishes to erect banners – the fee for this is £25.

#### 2. The Current Issue

2.1 When applying for the licence for Fanfare for Spring this year, the Council was required to provide proof that advertisement consent had been granted for the banners, or that advertisement consent was not required. This is a condition of the licence.

2.2 Advice was sought from New Forest District Council (NFDC) who gave the view that the display of banners, albeit on a temporary basis, would require the benefit of advertisement consent. If all banners were to be of a temporary nature, i.e. for the promotion of community events, NFDC confirmed that these could be the subject of one single application. However, if permission was sought for permanent display, in order to regularise the display of the banner on the lamppost in Southampton Road at the entrance to Carvers (promoting Carvers and the Clubhouse), this would require a second application. Consideration will also need to be given to the two banners promoting the Charter Market, which were erected in late 2016 for a trial period of 6-months but are still in place.



# C

2.3 If granted, any permission for temporary banners would likely be for a limited period of 5-years, with a condition restricting the length of time a banner could be in place (e.g. not to be erected more than 28 days before an event, and removed within 14 days after the event).

2.4 The cost to submit a planning application for advertisement consent is £231, which includes a 50% reduction for town and parish councils.

### 3. Financial Implications

3.1 Since the Council began to charge for the display of banners in 2016, an income of £520 has been generated, as follows:

2016	Christmas Lights Switch-On	£90
2017	Antiques and Decorative Arts Market	£180 (for 3 occasions)
"	Fanfare for Spring	£70
"	Ringwood Carnival	£100
2018	Ringwood Carnival	£80

3.2 It should be noted that the Antiques and Decorative Arts Market no longer uses lamppost banners. Fanfare for Spring would have used the lampposts this year, had it not been for the requirement for planning consent. Ringwood Carnival have been forewarned of the current issue, and have confirmed that they will make alternative arrangements this year, advertising on private land and other areas around the town.

3.3 The cost to erect and take down the 4 banners in the Furlong Car Park (2 groundsmen for 2 hours) is £83.60. This excludes administration costs of processing requests and applying for a licence.

3.4 The cost to erect and take down 10 banners town wide (2 groundsmen for 6 hours plus use of Council vehicle) is £255. Again, this excludes administration costs.

3.5 If the Council was to decide to discontinue the use of lamppost banners, the cost to remove all brackets would be £270 (2 groundsmen for 6 hours plus use of Council vehicle and scaffold tower).

### 4. The Wider Issue

4.1 For many years, the Town Council has removed banners from the fence around the Rotary Picnic Area, on behalf of New Forest District Council. The Town Council also has consent from Hampshire County Council to remove banners from the railings around the Salvation Army building in Christchurch Road, and in Mansfield Road at the pedestrian crossing (junction with Southampton Road). As Members will be aware, there are locations in the town where banners are permitted, such as The Furlong Shopping Centre car park fence. There are also areas where the removal of banners is not controlled, such as The Furlong short stay car park fence.

### 5. Issues for Decision

5.1 ***Should the Council apply for planning consent for the temporary erection of lamppost banners to promote community events in 12 locations around the town?***

5.2 ***Should the Council apply for planning consent for the permanent erection of a lamppost banner to promote Carvers and Carvers Clubhouse?***

- 5.3 ***Should the Council remove the 2 lamppost banners promoting the Charter Market, or apply for planning consent for their permanent display?***
- 5.4 ***If planning permission is sought and granted, does the Council wish to review the current fees?***
- 5.5 ***Does the Council wish to reconsider how it currently manages the removal of banners on property in the ownership of the District and County Councils?***

For further information, please contact:

Mrs Jo Hurd  
Deputy Town Clerk  
01425 484721  
jo.hurd@ringwood.gov.uk

Item No.	Name	Recent developments	Resource use				Finish in 2019-20?	Notes
			Finance			Staff time		
			Cost & Source	Spent to date	Predicted out-turn			
<b>Projects with budgetary implications (bids included in 2019-20 budget)</b>								
A1	Neighbourhood Plan	Decision on whether or not to proceed deferred to special meeting of Council – date to be arranged (FC 15/5/19).	£12,000 Annual Budget	£0	£0	Could be significant	No	It is expected that £9,000 would be funded by grants available.
A2	Crow Stream Maintenance	Linden Homes/HCC looking at options to reinstate access chamber to allow maintenance of twin pipes beneath access road. HCC looking into collapse of ditch at bottom of Crow Hill.	£1,000 Transfer from Dev Conts	£0	£1,000	Moderate	Probable	Annual flail and clearance by volunteers carried out in August/September. Stream banks sprayed in Spring.
A3	Human Sundial	Meeting held with HCC and sundial maker. Awaiting confirmation of costs prior to agreeing timescales for delivery – provisional start date late August/early September (to be complete prior to Carnival).	£8,000 CIL	£500	£8,000	Moderate	Probable	Project overseen by Town Centre Working Party To be funded by CIL receipts (Agreed by P&F 13/12/18 (F/5518))
A4	Cycle Stands	Carried forward from 2018-19	£500 Provision	£0	£500	Minimal	Probable	Included in plans for Market Place improvements. Additional cycle storage also included in HCC scheme to improve access for cyclists and pedestrians.
A5	Street-lighting in Kings Arms Lane	Carried forward from 2018-19	£800 CIL	£0	£800	Minimal	Possible	To be funded by CIL receipts (Agreed by P&F 19/10/17 (F/5497))
<b>Projects with budgetary implications (not included in 2019-20 budget but added since)</b>								
B								



**Projects with no budgetary implications in 2019-20**

Item No.	Name	Recent developments	Resource use				Finish in 2019-20?	Notes
			Finance			Staff time		
			Cost & Source	Spent to date	Predicted out-turn			
C1	Pedestrian Crossings Christchurch Road	Works due to be carried out late Summer, following completion of works on A338.				Minimal	Probable	Scheme includes changes to Castleman Way/Bickerley Road roundabout to improve crossing facilities for pedestrians and cyclists.
C2	A31 improvement scheme	HCC working on detailed design of various cycling, safety and integration (CSI) town centre improvements to be funded by HE designated funds.				Moderate	No	Start date for main scheme expected to be end March 2021.
C3	Moortown drainage improvements	HCC Engineering Consultancy team will carry out modelling to ensure any works undertaken to reinstate the Moortown Lane system do not increase flood risk elsewhere.				Moderate	Probable	To be funded from Linden Homes developer contribution (£50,000 allocated for flood alleviation works).
C4	Pedestrian Crossing Castleman Way	Developers' contributions allocated but site does not meet HCC criteria for toucan crossing. Agreed to revisit following promotion of cycle path through Forest Gate Business Park.				Minimal	No	Dependent on C5.
C5	Improved signage for cycle path through Forest Gate Business Park	HCC agreed to include in the scheme for C1 above.				Minimal	Probable	
C6	Shared Use Path across Carvers	Developers' contributions allocated, not a high priority for HCC.				Minimal	No	Path for cyclists and pedestrians, to link Mansfield Road and Southampton Road.
C7	New footpath to link Linden Homes site with Hightown Road (alongside west of Crow Lane)	Developers' contributions allocated – HCC to progress.				Minimal	No	To be funded from Linden Homes developer contribution.



Item No.	Name	Brief description & notes (define scope and quality requirements)	Resource requirements				Budget Bid Priority (specify number)
			Finance		Time and attention		
			Estimated costs (recurrent and non-recurrent), possible sources, other implications, etc.		Members	Staff	
<b>Projects with budgetary implications (for inclusion as bids in 2020-21 budget)</b>							
A							
<b>Projects with budgetary implications (for possible inclusion as bids in later budgets)</b>							
B1	Rear of Southampton Road	To improve the aspect of the rear of buildings in Southampton Road to Meeting House Lane (Ringwood Society)	Unresearched at this time				
B2	Lynes Lane re-paving	Remove street features and re-pave (Town Centre Working Party/ Ringwood Society)	Unresearched at this time				
<b>Projects with no budgetary implications</b>							
C							