

OPEN SESSION: There were 3 members of the public present, interested in items on the agenda.

## **MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING, TOWN & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE**

Held on Friday 5<sup>th</sup> January 2018 at 10.00am

PRESENT: Cllr Christopher Treleaven (Chairman)  
Cllr Tim Ward (Vice Chairman)  
Cllr Andrew Briers  
Cllr Philip Day  
Cllr Hilary Edge  
Cllr Christine Ford  
Cllr Gloria O'Reilly  
Cllr Tony Ring  
Cllr Angela Wiseman

IN ATTENDANCE: Jo Hurd, Deputy Town Clerk  
Nicola Vodden, Meetings Administrator

### **P/5461 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

The Chairman reported that apologies for absence had been received from Student Advisors, Charlotte Amos, Chloe Collins and Lucy Hewitt.

### **P/5462 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Cllr Ward declared a non-pecuniary interest in respect of tree works application CONS/17/1183 29 Woodstock Lane, as the applicant is his next door neighbour.

### **P/5463 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING**

**RESOLVED:** That the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> December 2017, having been circulated, be approved and signed as a correct record.

### **P/5464 PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

*With the agreement of the Members, application 17/11666, Land rear of 31-33 West Street was brought forward, for the benefit of members of the public present.*

17/11666 Land rear of 31-33 West Street - Matthew Holmes, agent for the applicant, presented the application for demolition of the existing building, construction of a pair of 2 bed semi-detached houses and parking, in an area of land adjacent to the bus depot. Pre-application advice had been sought and the scheme had been designed with that in mind. Further detail was given in relation to the proposal's scale, character, design, relationship with the surrounding buildings, materials to be used, etc. along with the parking provision and the re-positioning of the external staircase to the rear of 31-33 West Street. He confirmed that the outstanding information required was available.

Members agreed to recommend permission, but would accept the Planning Officer's decision and added that they disagreed with the Conservation Officer, in that the proposal did not compromise the street scene or the Conservation Area, as the scheme was subservient to buildings to the front and a similar height to the adjacent building. They would have preferred to see one more parking space, but it was felt that 2 spaces was acceptable in this town centre location.

The remaining applications were dealt with in list order.

17/11638 55 Northfield Road – Cllr Ward declared a non-pecuniary interest as he knows the immediate neighbour.

CONS/17/1183 29 Woodstock Lane – Cllr Ward declared a non-pecuniary interest as the applicant is his next door neighbour.

**RESOLVED:** That the observations summarised in *Annex A* be submitted.

|                             |
|-----------------------------|
| <b>ACTION Nicola Vodden</b> |
|-----------------------------|

**P/5465  
PROJECT OVERSIGHT**

The Deputy Town Clerk referred to the current projects progress report (*Annex B*) and added that in respect of A3 Butler's Lane footpath link, a contractor had been appointed and a date for the works was awaited.

Although the provision of the street light in Kings Arms Lane (B1) had been scheduled for 11<sup>th</sup> December, the work had not been undertaken and is now programmed for week commencing 8<sup>th</sup> January.

With regard to the pedestrian crossing in Christchurch Road (C1), it had been included in Hampshire Highways programme of work for this year. Progress was to be ascertained and pressure will be maintained for additional crossings south of the Lidl's roundabout.

**RESOLVED:** That the update on current projects be noted.

|                       |
|-----------------------|
| <b>ACTION Jo Hurd</b> |
|-----------------------|

**P/5466  
COMMITTEE BUDGET 2018/19**

Members were asked to confirm the projects to be included and their priority for the Committee's budget for 2018/19 (*Annex C*).

The Deputy Town Clerk reported that since the last meeting, the Policy and Finance Committee had agreed that the Human Sundial project (which includes other enhancements to the Market Place) be funded from CIL receipts. The Town Centre Improvement Working Party was scheduled to meet on 15<sup>th</sup> January and its recommendations would be brought to this Committee for approval in February.

**RESOLVED:** That the projects identified for inclusion in the Committee budget for 2018/19 be given the priority as indicated in *Annex C*.

**P/5467****A31 IMPROVEMENT SCHEME**

The Deputy Town Clerk reported that there had been no response from Highways England to the Town Council's response to the public consultation on the A31 Improvement Scheme, held in June/July last year. She had had to chase for a reply, which had been received shortly before the meeting and the details were as follows:-

| The Town Council :-   | Response from Highways England:-  |
|---|---|
| 1) Approves of the A31 Improvement Scheme, to widen the westbound carriageway to 3 lanes, in order to reduce congestion for users of the A31, reduce congestion for local people, improve journey times and reduce pollution;   | Noted.  |
| 2) Supports the proposed closure of West Street on safety grounds, with a caveat that there needs to be emergency access onto the A31 from the Market Place, with the operation of a barrier/smart card system;   | The method of closure will be part of the design of the scheme, the preliminary design will be drawn up in this current stage of the project.   |
| 3) Recommends that there be no direct access to or from the A31 for the petrol station, in the interests of safety;   | Recommendation noted.   |
| 4) Proposes that, in the event that 3 above is rejected, the slip road at the petrol station be extended the full length to the Verwood turnoff and investigations be made into alternative solutions for entry/exit to the petrol station, possibly from the Verwood road;   | This is an option that will be taken into consideration as part of the design.  |
| 5) Requires that there be a robust implementation plan in place to minimise the impact on residents during the construction period, due to the effects of contraflows, overnight closures and diversion routes for its expected 18 months duration. In addition, Highways England must ensure that the works do not coincide with planned works on the A338 (between the Blackwater and Cooper Dean junctions); | HE are looking to keep disruption to a minimum and are aware to of the disruption caused at a location such as Ringwood. It has determined that the bridges will require replacement, so some disruption is inevitable with structures the date back to the 1930s. The Corridor Optimisation announcement of 19 <sup>th</sup> October means that Start of works has now been moved to March 2021, so after completion of the A338 scheme. |
| 6) Requires that specific noise and vibration abatement measures be implemented for residents along the route and for the Parish Church;  | Noted and passed to HE design team.   |
| 7) Requires an assurance that traffic re-modelling takes into account the new Local Plan and future projections for traffic with the possible development of Sites P, Q and R, including volume of traffic at peak  | It is understood that discussions on this are underway and that some modelling had already been undertaken.   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| times;   |  |
| 8) Requires that the re-modelling statistics be made available to the Town Council's own traffic consultants;  | Noted.   |
| 9) Requires Town Centre access improvements for pedestrians and cyclists and believes that it is the duty of Highways England to provide this. Ringwood is unique in that it has a trunk road through the town and improvements are needed to compensate for this and to help the community to prosper. In this respect, it will be necessary to work with Hampshire County Council and New Forest District Council. | The bid for designated funds will be instrumental in addressing town centre improvements and RDC, HCC and NFDC are involved in discussion. The widening of the A31 should in itself benefit the town centre as it will ease/remove congestion and associated pollutants. |
| 10) Requires that signage and lane management be improved from Picket Post in order to keep traffic on the A31 and to make it clear that there is no re-access to the A31 through the town; and  | This will be considered as part of the design to ensure the West Street Closure is well promoted   |
| 11) Recommends that consideration be given to the introduction of a 50mph speed limit from Picket Post. This proposal has overwhelming public support.   | This sits outside the remit of the project and would have to form part of a wider/route strategy.  |

The Deputy Town Clerk reported that it was originally understood that funds for town centre improvements were included as part of the scheme and available, however following a conference call with Highways England, NFDC and HCC officers in early December, it was established that the funds needed to be bid for and there was no guarantee of success.

The Committee was disappointed, and wished it to be put on record, that it felt the Council was misled by Highways England, in respect of funding for town centre improvements, as it was originally informed that funds would be available from the scheme budget, for this purpose, and there was never any mention of submitting a bid, which may or may not be successful.

Members wished it to be emphasized again, that the Council wished to be fully consulted and involved in discussions as the design of the scheme is progressed, and in the detail of construction and traffic management plans.

There followed a discussion on the need to gather evidence to show what kind of an impact the construction work will have on the town centre, for example shoppers and diners choosing to go elsewhere. There was some anecdotal evidence that this was the case during the recent period of road closures of the A31 (such as restaurant bookings being cancelled and general bad feeling amongst residents due to the length (and poor signing) of the diversion route). There were a number of closures planned for A31 in the forthcoming months and, it was thought that these would provide an opportunity to collect examples of the impact in the short-term, with a view to understanding the effect when the A31 is closed long-term and businesses would be invited to provide the Council with details.

**RESOLVED:** That the Deputy Town Clerk's update on the A31 Improvement Scheme be noted and a response be sent to Highways England, expressing the Council's disappointment regarding funding for town centre improvements and its wish to be involved in discussions, as the design of the scheme is progressed.

|                       |
|-----------------------|
| <b>ACTION</b> Jo Hurd |
|-----------------------|

**P/5468**

**HIGHWAYS ENGLAND STRATEGIC ROAD NETWORK CONSULTATION**

Members were asked to note Highways Englands Strategic Road Network consultation (<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/shaping-the-future-of-englands-strategic-roads-ris2>) and consider responding.

The Deputy Town Clerk indicated this was a high level document with regard to the road network for the UK and Highways England's strategy covering the period 2020 – 2025. The A31 Improvement Scheme was included under the first Road Investment Strategy (RIS) and there were no roads in the area included in RIS2. She indicated that there would be four classifications of roads, with the A31 potentially being an 'expressway', in the future, and highlighted that there would be designated funds to support new schemes, where a holistic approach would be taken towards residents needs and environmental needs, in order to connect communities.

The Chairman commented that more emphasis should be placed on tackling local issues for towns, such as Ringwood, where the route of a strategic road (A31) has split the town in half. Funds should be made available to mitigate the detrimental impact on the town and its residents during construction works. The strategic road network is given priority over the impact on local people, whose lives are affected on a daily basis by these roads.

**RESOLVED:** That a response to the consultation be prepared for submission by the Deputy Town Clerk, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman.

|                       |
|-----------------------|
| <b>ACTION</b> Jo Hurd |
|-----------------------|

**P/5469**

**RESIDUAL PARKING – MOTION BY CROOKHAM VILLAGE PARISH COUNCIL**

Members were asked to consider supporting a motion by Crookham Village Parish Council seeking a change in planning law to make the adequacy of residual on-site parking a Material Planning Consideration (Annex D)

A discussion followed and Members agreed to support the motion. It was noted that the Town Council has commented on numerous planning applications about the requirement for additional on-site parking as a consequence of the creation of additional bedrooms; the use of garages for living accommodation or for their removal; and proposed extensions reducing the area available for parking. The Town Council is very rarely supported by the highway authority (Hampshire County Council), with the response very often being "an objection based on under provision of parking would be neither appropriate nor sustainable".

With the increase in car ownership and in the number of occupants per dwelling, this issue is not going to go away and the impact on road safety and access by emergency vehicles will worsen.

An amendment to planning law to ensure that residual on-site parking is taken into consideration in the determination of all planning applications, and in Permitted Development regulations, could prevent the creep of on-street parking and the associated issues that arise from this.

**RESOLVED:** That the Council supports the motion by Crookham Village Parish Council.

**ACTION Jo Hurd**

**P/5470  
HCC RIGHTS OF WAY CUTTING LIST**

The Deputy Town Clerk indicated that she had consulted with the Ringwood and Fordingbridge Footpath Society (R&FFS), in relation to the priority cutting list for 2018 (*Annex E*).

Members did not accept that the number of paths to be cut should be reduced to 5 in all parishes across the County, particularly when the distribution of paths is not even amongst the parishes. They therefore requested that all 9 footpaths, identified on the current list, continue to be cut in 2018. Some of the paths are included in documented walking routes (Exploring Ringwood leaflet, Health Walks etc.) and should be kept well maintained for this reason. It was understood that, in addition to this list, the Avon Valley Path would continue to be maintained throughout the year.

The Committee also supported comments made by the R&FFS on the proposal in the New Forest District Council Mitigation Strategy for European Sites for improvements to linkages and signing of the public rights of way network in south east Ringwood. If new circular walking routes are to be promoted as proposed, there will be additional paths that require regular maintenance, including the Castleman Trailway.

**RESOLVED:** 1) That the Deputy Town Clerk submit a response on HCC's priority cutting list, for the 2018 programme, as outlined above and  
2) That the County Councillor be invited to support the Committee's comments.

**ACTION Jo Hurd**

There being no further business, the Chairman closed the meeting at 12.15pm.

RECEIVED  
31<sup>st</sup> January 2018

APPROVED  
2<sup>nd</sup> February 2018

TOWN MAYOR

COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN

Note: The text in the Action Boxes above does not form part of these minutes.

Annex A to Planning, Town Environment Committee Minutes 5th January 2018  
**Ringwood Town Council - Planning Observations - NFDC**

| <b>Number</b> | <b>Site Address</b>                   | <b>Proposal</b>   | <b>Observation</b> | <b>Comments</b>   |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------|---|
| 17/11230      | Land At Embankment Way, Ringwood.     | 21 Industrial / Storage Units (Use Classes B1(b), B1(c), B2 & B8); parking; landscaping                   | Refusal (2)        | Members felt that the previous objections had not been addressed, in that the proposal was overdevelopment of the site, with too many units, and that there was a lack of information in relation to noise and drainage assessment. Although the threshold had not been met for a transport assessment, this was viewed as important as the site was in an area of the town, where there were a number of industrial estates and the amount of traffic generated would have a greater impact, than if it was considered in isolation (It was still unclear whether the mezzanine floors were included in the floorspace calculations and, if included, the application would meet the threshold for a traffic assessment). Members considered that a condition in relation to the installation and maintenance of the footpath, provision for which is included in the Local Plan (RING 6.4), was imperative. |
| 17/11573      | 22-24 High Street, Ringwood. BH24 1AF | Use as cafe/ hot food takeaway with first floor seating; retention of flue (Use Class A5) (retrospective) | Permission (1)     |   |

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

| Number   | Site Address  | Proposal   | Observation    | Comments   |
|----------|---|--|----------------|--|
| 17/11598 | 18, Wessex Road, Ringwood. BH24 1XB                       | Two-storey rear extension; single-storey side and rear extension   | Permission (1) |  |
| 17/11609 | Church Hatch Centre, 22, Market Place, Ringwood. BH24 1AW | Repair chimney (Retrospective) (Application for Listed Building Consent)   | Refusal (2)    | Members felt that this was a very technical application and wished to continue to support the Conservation Officer views in relation to the proposal.  |
| 17/11610 | Church Hatch Centre, 22, Market Place, Ringwood. BH24 1AW | Repair 2 chimneys (Application for Listed Building Consent)  | Permission (1) | This recommendation was made on the basis that the Conservation Officer had no further objection.  |
| 17/11612 | 72, Eastfield Lane, Ringwood. BH24 1UN                    | Single-storey rear and side extensions   | Refusal (2)    | Members felt that the cladding contradicted the principles of the Local Distinctiveness Plan and the colour had not been specified.  |
| 17/11620 | 9, Morant Road, Ringwood. BH24 1SX                        | Bungalow; partial demolition of existing   | Permission (1) |  |
| 17/11627 | 23 - 23A Market Place, Ringwood. BH24 1AN                 | Create 2 residential flats; removal of internal walls (Application for Listed Building Consent)                        | Refusal (2)    | In principle, the Committee did not object to the re-creation of 1 shop at the front and accommodation to the rear, however it could not support the proposal as it stands, due to the cramped nature and amenity value of the two flats and the objections from the Conservation Officer. |
| 17/11634 | 5, Morant Road, Ringwood. BH24 1SX                        | Roof alterations, dormer and rooflights in association with new first floor; single-storey rear extension; front porch | Refusal (2)    | Members felt that the present design of the first floor was too dominant and could be improved by having a cropped gable end.  |

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

| Number   | Site Address                                       | Proposal   | Observation    | Comments  |
|----------|--|--|----------------|---|
| 17/11638 | 55, Northfield Road, Ringwood. BH24 1LT            | Raise ridge height; roof alterations; front and rear extensions; rear juliet balcony; rooflights | Permission (1) |   |
| 17/11639 | 49, Fairlie, Ringwood. BH24 1TR                    | Two-storey rear extension; single-storey side extension  | Permission (1) | Members understood the concern of the neighbours to the rear of the property in relation to overlooking from the first floor, however the proposals satisfied criteria for built up areas, when considering the distance between dwellings.   |
| 17/11660 | 23-23A Market Place, Ringwood. BH24 1AN            | Create 2 residential flats at ground-floor rear  | Refusal (2)    | In principle, the Committee did not object to the re-creation of 1 shop at the front and accommodation to the rear, however it could not support the proposal as it stands, due to the cramped nature and amenity value of the two flats and the objections from the Conservation Officer.  |
| 17/11666 | Land rear of 31-33 West Street, Ringwood. BH24 1DY | 1 pair of semi-detached houses; parking; demolition of existing                                  | Permission (1) | The Committee disagreed with the Conservation Officer in that the proposal did not compromise the street scene or the Conservation Area, as the scheme was subservient to buildings to the front and a similar height to the adjacent building. It would prefer to see one more parking space, but it was felt that 2 spaces was acceptable in this town centre location. |
| 17/11673 | 1, Hightown Gardens, Ringwood. BH24 3EG            | Attached bungalow; parking; access   | Permission (1) | This recommendation was made on the basis that the Highways Officer was content with the proposed vehicular access.   |

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

| Number   | Site Address  | Proposal  | Observation    | Comments   |
|----------|---|---|----------------|--|
| 17/11682 | Land adjacent to 14, Jubilee Close, Ringwood. BH24 1XU  | Alterations to include dropped kerb to create 5 additional parking spaces   | Permission (3) | Members welcomed the proposal by residents to improve the parking situation in the area. |
| 17/11737 | Koh Thai Tapas, 2, Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1HY | Fencing alterations; new gate   | Permission (1) | Members made this recommendation subject to the Conservation Officer having no concerns. |
| 17/11738 | Koh Thai Tapas, 2, Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1HY | Fencing alterations; new gate; remove bamboo and rose arch; paint white (Application for Listed Building Consent) | Permission (1) | Members made this recommendation subject to the Conservation Officer having no concerns. |



1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

## Ringwood Town Council - Planning Observations - NFNPA

| Number       | Site Address   | Proposal   | Observation    | Comments |
|--------------|--|--|----------------|----------|
| 17/00990     | Hurn Farm, Forest Lane, Hightown Hill, Ringwood. BH24 3HF    | Installation of swimming pool  | Permission (1) |          |
| 17/01022     | Waterditch, Cowpitts Lane, North Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 3JX | Single storey extension; roof alterations to existing single storey extension; replacement outbuilding.  | Permission (1) |          |
| 17/01023     | Waterditch, Cowpitts Lane, North Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 3JX | Single storey extension; roof alterations to existing single storey extension; Internal alterations; replacement outbuilding (Application for Listed Building Consent) | Permission (1) |          |
| 17/01056     | Land Of Uppacott, Bagnum Lane, Bagnum, Ringwood. BH24 3BZ    | Agricultural storage building  | Permission (1) |          |
| 17/01058     | Hightown Close, Hightown Hill, Ringwood, BH24 3HG            | Single storey extension with balcony over  | Permission (1) |          |
| CONS/17/1175 | King Edgar Lodge, 65 Christchurch Road, Ringwood. BH24 1DH   | Prune 1 x Oak tree<br>Prune 1 x Sycamore tree  | Permission (1) |          |

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

| Number       | Site Address  | Proposal                 | Observation    | Comments  |
|--------------|---|--------------------------|----------------|---|
| CONS/17/1179 | Keepers Cottage,<br>Hangersley Hill,<br>Hangersley, Ringwood.<br>BH24 3JN | Prune 1 x Oak Tree       | Permission (1) |   |
| CONS/17/1183 | 29 Woodstock Lane,<br>Ringwood. BH24 1DT                                  | Fell 1 x Eucalyptus tree | Permission (1) |   |
| CONS/17/1218 | Old Farm, Cowpitts Lane,<br>Poulner, Ringwood. BH24<br>3JX                | Prune 2 x Oak trees      | Refusal (2)    | Members felt that there was insufficient information provided in the application to justify the 50% reduction of the two Oak trees. |

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision



| Item No.  | Name                                  | Recent developments   | Resource use |               |                    |             | Finish in 2017-18? | Notes  |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|--|
|   |                                       |   | Finance      |               |                    | Staff time  |                    |  |
|   |                                       |   | Budget       | Spent to date | Predicted out-turn |             |                    |  |
| Projects with budgetary implications (bids included in 2017-18 budget)                |                                       |   |              |               |                    |             |                    |  |
| A1  | Traffic Assessment                    | Quotation of £2,950 accepted for traffic assessment   | £7,000       | £0            | £2,950             | Moderate    | Probable           | Local Plan Review pre-submission consultation due early 2018   |
| A2  | Cycle Stands                          | Included in plans for Market Place improvements   | £500         | £0            | £0                 | Minimal     | Unlikely           | Awaiting choice/availability of site   |
| A3  | Butler's Lane Footpath Link           | Contract awarded to G Farwell Ltd – work to be scheduled  | £4,000       | £0            | £2,481.84          | Moderate    | Probable           | HCC has advised that RTC can commission works subject to contractor compliance with HCC standards & procedures |
| A4  | Crow Stream clearance                 | Work to control growth of Hemlock Water Dropwort on stream banks scheduled for March 2018 – no further costs expected | £1,000       | £1,172.88     | £1,172.88          | Moderate    | Probable           | Annual flail and clearance complete<br>Rod Lane Relief Drain sluice repaired                                   |
| A5  | Human Sundial repair                  | Topographical survey ordered  | £700         | £550          | £550               | Moderate    | Unlikely           | Town Centre Working Party  |
| Projects with budgetary implications (not included in 2017-18 budget but added since) |                                       |   |              |               |                    |             |                    |  |
| B1  | Street-lighting in Kings Arms Lane    | Work scheduled for week commencing 11/12/17<br>HCC agreed to adopt for maintenance purposes.                          |              | £0            | £850               | Moderate    | Probable           | Funded by CIL receipts (P&F Minute F/5497 19.10.17 refers)   |
| Projects with no budgetary implications   |                                       |   |              |               |                    |             |                    |  |
| C1  | Pedestrian Crossing Christchurch Road | Included in HCC programme of works  |              |               |                    | Minimal     | Possible           | Pressure to be maintained for additional crossings further south   |
| C2  | A31 improvement scheme                | Mitigation works business case in preparation   |              |               |                    | Significant | Unlikely           | Might involve use of developer contributions in addition to contributions from Highways England                |
| C3  | Review of developer contributions     | Now have overview of transport contributions held by NFDC (allocated and unallocated)                                 |              |               |                    | Significant | Probable           | Decision-making process for use of CIL receipts agreed by P&F 18.10.17 (F/5496 refers)                         |



| Item No.  | Name                                   | Brief description & notes<br>(define scope and quality requirements)  | Resource requirements |                        |                                |                    |             |             | Budget Priority |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
|   |  |   | Finance               |                        |                                | Time and attention |             |             |                 |
|   |  |   | RTC recurring cost    | RTC non-recurring cost | Other source                   | Members            | Staff       | Others      |                 |
| Projects with budgetary implications (for possible inclusion as bids in 2018-19 budget) |  |   |                       |                        |                                |                    |             |             |                 |
| A1  | Neighbourhood Plan                     | Undertake a cost-benefit analysis of preparing a Plan with independent expert advice  | £0                    | £3,000                 | £0                             | Moderate           | Moderate    | Minimal     | 3               |
| A2  | Sign painting at Fridays Cross         | To restore a painted sign on the façade of 5/7 Christchurch Road (Town Centre Working Party/Ringwood Society)   | £0                    | £400                   | £0                             | Minimal            | Minimal     | Moderate    | 2               |
| A3  | Human Sundial                          | To repair and restore the Human Sundial and surrounding area in the Market Pace (Town Centre Working Party)<br>Agreed to fund from CIL receipts (P&F Cttee 13/12/17 - F/5518) | £0                    | £8,000 estimate        | To be funded from CIL receipts | Moderate           | Moderate    | Moderate    | 1               |
| Projects with budgetary implications (for possible inclusion as bids in later budgets)  |  |   |                       |                        |                                |                    |             |             |                 |
| B1  | Rear of Southampton Road               | To improve the aspect of the rear of buildings in Southampton Road to Meeting House Lane  | £0                    | £?                     | £?                             | Moderate           | Significant | Significant |                 |
| B2  | Lynes Lane re-paving                   | Remove street features and re-pave (Town Centre Working Party/Ringwood Society)   | £0                    | £?                     | £?                             | Moderate           | Significant | Significant |                 |
| B3  | Additional street light in Gorley Road | In the event that this is not funded by HCC, to provide one additional street lighting column between Butlers Lane and Parkers Close  | £?                    | £3,000+ estimate       | HCC                            | Minimal            | Moderate    | Moderate    |                 |
| Projects with no budgetary implications   |  |   |                       |                        |                                |                    |             |             |                 |
| C1  | Moortown drainage improvements         | Drainage improvement works to be funded by Hampshire County Council – completion expected by end of 2017  |                       |                        |                                | Moderate           | Moderate    | Moderate    |                 |



**Nicola Vodden**

---

**From:** Jo Hurd  
**Sent:** 28 December 2017 10:51  
**To:** Nicola Vodden  
**Subject:** FW: Support Sought by Crookham Village PC

**Importance:** High

---

From: Taylor, Amy [<mailto:Amy.Taylor@eastleigh.gov.uk>]  
Sent: 20 December 2017 10:37  
Cc: Steven Lugg  
Subject: Support Sought by Crookham Village PC  
Importance: High

Dear Member Councils,

At the 2017 Hampshire ALC AGM there was overwhelming support for the Crookham Village motion seeking, through NALC, changes to legislation to make adequacy of residual parking a material planning consideration for both planning applications and for permitted development.

The motion and its outline supporting case stated:

“Modern urban developments are built against an agreed standard of parking that varies from district to district. Mostly these establish a minimum standard and erosion of the initial allocation frequently leads to excessive on-street parking with consequential adverse effect on road safety and access by emergency and utility vehicles. Current planning guidance and rules for Permitted Development offer no protection for on-site parking with the result that, over time, excessive on-street parking becomes an ever greater problem.

Crookham Village Parish Council proposes that HALC should agree to seek, through NALC, government consideration of changes to planning law to make the adequacy of residual on-site parking a Material Planning Consideration when determining applications for on-site changes and also in the rules for Permitted Development.”

NALC has asked for evidence to support this proposal. To add weight, it is requested that supporting councils add their weight to the input to NALC rather than relying on Crookham Village Parish Council alone.

Please will HALC member councils supply a statement of those related issues in their area which persuaded them to support the motion. Note that this motion does not seek to address the related issue of parking standards for new build – only the preservation of an adequate amount of residual on-site parking after subsequent alterations.

Please respond by email to both myself and [david.jackson@crookhamvillage.org.uk](mailto:david.jackson@crookhamvillage.org.uk) by 5.00pm on 29<sup>th</sup> January 2018 so that your responses may be correlated and forwarded to NALC in time for the next meeting of their Policy Committee.

Yours sincerely,

**Amy Taylor**  
Policy Officer

**PLANNING, TOWN & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE – 5 JANUARY 2018  
HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL RIGHTS OF WAY CUTTING LIST**

1. Hampshire County Council is in the process of reviewing its Rights of Way Vegetation Priority Cutting List. The Town Council has been given the opportunity to review the list for Ringwood and suggest any alterations for the 2018 programme.
2. There are nine paths currently included on the list, identified to be cut during the month of June. These are:
  - 15 – Narrow Lane
  - 18 – Linford Road to A31
  - 37 – Hightown Hill to Crow Hill
  - 40 – Forestlake Avenue east
  - 41a – Forestlake Avenue to Crow (south of Hightown Lake)
  - 53 – Christchurch Road to end of Hampshire Hatches Lane
  - 54 – End of Hampshire Hatches Lane to south of sewage works
  - 82 – Green Lane east (south of Upper Kingston Farm)
  - 83 – Green Lane to Crow (south of Hightown Lake)

In addition, the Avon Valley Path will be maintained throughout the year.

Full details can be viewed here:

<http://documents.hants.gov.uk/PriorityCuttingList.pdf>

3. This year, Members are also asked to reduce the number of paths to 5, list the paths in priority order and to add comments to explain why one path should be cut over another, for example if it is used as a route to school.
4. As is normal practice, the Ringwood and Fordingbridge Footpath Society has been consulted on the proposals. The Society is concerned about the proposal to reduce the number of paths to be cut to 5, particularly for a parish the size of Ringwood. In addition, the Society has recently been asked to comment on a project outlined in the District Council's Mitigation Strategy for European Sites (Recreational Pressure from Residential Development) Supplementary Planning Document; for improvements to linkages and signing of the public rights of way network in south east Ringwood. This project would require footpaths other than those listed above to be cleared and regularly maintained in order to provide circular walking routes to the south and east of the Linden Homes development at Crow Lane/Crow Arch Lane.
5. Members are asked to consider approving the cutting list, as outlined in paragraph 2 above, and to consider making further comments in support of Ringwood Society's concerns.

For further information, please contact:

Jo Hurd  
Deputy Town Clerk  
[jo.hurd@ringwood.gov.uk](mailto:jo.hurd@ringwood.gov.uk)  
01425 484721