

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF RINGWOOD TOWN COUNCIL

Held on Wednesday 17th December 2025 at 7pm at Ringwood Gateway, The Furlong, Ringwood

PRESENT: Cllr Rae Frederick (Chairman)
Cllr Andrew Briers
Cllr Philip Day
Cllr Luke Dadford
Cllr Gareth DeBoos
Cllr Mary DeBoos
Cllr Janet Georgiou
Cllr John Haywood
Cllr Peter Kelleher
Cllr James Swyer
Cllr Glenys Turner
Cllr Becci Windsor

IN ATTENDANCE: Mrs Charmaine Bennett, Town Clerk
Mrs Jo Hurd, Deputy Town Clerk
District Cllr Jeremy Heron
District Cllr Nigel Linford
District Cllr Steve Rippon-Swaine

ABSENT: Cllr Michael Thierry
Cllr Ingrid De Bruyn

C/7463 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

There were no members of public present.

C/7464 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence had been received from Cllrs De Bruyn and Thierry.

C/7465 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were none.

C/7466 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the Meeting held on 26th November 2025, having been circulated, be approved and signed as a correct record.

C/7467 RECREATION, LEISURE AND OPEN SPACES COMMITTEE

Cllr Swyer presented the minutes of the Recreation, Leisure and Open Spaces Committee meeting held on 3rd December 2025.

--

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the Recreation, Leisure and Open Spaces Committee meeting held on 3rd December 2025 be received.

C/7468
PLANNING, TOWN & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

Cllr Day presented the minutes of the Planning Town & Environment Committee meeting held on 5th December 2025.

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the Planning, Town and Environment Committee meeting held on 5th December 2025 be received.

C/7469
POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Cllr M DeBoos presented the minutes of the Policy and Finance Committee meeting held on 10th December 2025.

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the Policy and Finance Committee meeting held on 10th December 2025 be received.

C/7470
COMMITTEE COMPOSITION

Members considered changes to the composition of council committees, sub-committees, working parties or panels.

RESOLVED: That it be noted Cllr Windsor resigned from Recreation, Leisure & Open Spaces Committee and the Cemetery Working Party, and Cllr Haywood resigned from Policy & Finance Committee.

C/7471
LOCAL GOVERNMENT REORGANISATION (LGR) – CONSULTATION RESPONSE

Members considered the Town Clerk’s report (*Annex A*) and draft response to the LGR consultation.

RESOLVED: That the response to the government’s LGR consultation be submitted without change.

ACTION C Bennett

C/7472
NFDC ASSET REVIEW AND ENGAGEMENT

Members considered the Town Clerk’s report (*Annex B*) regarding an invitation from New Forest District Council (NFDC) to indicate assets for potential transfer at a future stage.

It was noted that the list of assets had been updated since it was circulated with the agenda. Uncoloured assets were in the main small pockets of land, brown were of high community value but not meeting NFDC’s criteria for transfer, and red were those that were unlikely to be offered for transfer.

Members were of the view that highest priority should be given to those areas of open space that are currently leased by the Town Council and include play areas, those being North Poulner Open Space and Ash Grove. However, an interest should be registered in all the areas of land in rows 2 to 9, with the exception of the 10-acre field (row 3), which had recently been transferred to the Town Council.

Members were also of the view that other assets that were valued by residents should also be protected, such as public toilets, car parks, Ringwood Gateway and Ringwood Health & Leisure. It was acknowledged that it might not be possible for the Town Council to take over ownership, nevertheless the importance of them should be communicated to NFDC.

It was noted land and assets held within NFDC's Housing Revenue Account are not included on the list.

- RESOLVED:** 1) That interest be expressed in the transfer of assets in rows coloured white to the Town Council at a future stage; and
2) That assets outlined in rows coloured brown plus the Health and Leisure Centre be indicated as of high value to the community and should not be lost.

ACTION C Bennett

C/7473
COMMUNICATIONS TO BE RECEIVED

The Town Mayor reported that she had attended the New Forest Business Awards (at which Ringwood businesses had great success) and a meeting of the Ringwood & Fordingbridge Business Community, which would be increasing events in 2026 from quarterly to monthly. She had also attended the Forest Forge production of Pinocchio at Carvers Clubhouse and the Mayor's Carol Service at St Peter & St Paul Parish Church, among other seasonal events.

C/7474
REPORTS FROM COUNTY AND DISTRICT COUNCILLORS

Cllr Heron reported that the Mayoral elections had been deferred to 2028, and that work on the NFDC Local Plan Review would continue in the New Year.

Cllr Rippon-Swaine reported on statistics for Phase 2 of the roll out of the new waste collection service, including 51,000 containers delivered, 177,000 collections completed in October and November, and 150 tonnes of food waste collected in the same two months.

C/7475
REPORTS FROM TOWN COUNCILLORS

Cllr Day had attended the Veterans Christmas Party at Greyfriars.

C/7476
FORTHCOMING MEETINGS

Dates of forthcoming meetings were noted:		
Recreation, Leisure & Open Spaces	7.00pm	Wednesday 7 th January 2026
Planning, Town & Environment	10.00am	Friday 9 th January 2026
Policy & Finance	7.00pm	Wednesday 21 st January 2026

RESOLVED: That the Local Government Reorganisation consultation be publicised in the New Year.

C/7478
EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

RESOLVED: That, in accordance with section 1(2) of the Public Bodies (Admission to Meetings) Act 1960, the press and public were excluded because publicity would be prejudicial to the public interest by reason of the confidential nature of the business to be transacted (*Confidential minutes - Annex C*).

C/7479
LEGAL MATTERS

Members received a verbal report from the Town Clerk on ongoing legal matters.

RESOLVED: That the Town Clerk's verbal report be received.

ACTION C Bennett

There being no further business, the Deputy Town Mayor closed the meeting at 7.59pm.

APPROVED
28th January 2025

TOWN MAYOR

--

FULL COUNCIL

17 December 2025

Local Government Reorganisation consultation: Ringwood Town Council response

1. Introduction and reason for report

1.1 At the last Full Council meeting, the Town Clerk was tasked with preparing a draft response to the Government's statutory consultation on Local Government Reorganisation (LGR) affecting Hampshire, the Isle of Wight, Portsmouth and Southampton. This report presents that draft response for refinement, amendment and, if agreed, approval for submission.

2. Background

2.1 The Government has launched a consultation seeking views on several structural options for new unitary councils. These proposals affect the future delivery of all local authority services currently provided by both New Forest District Council and Hampshire County Council.

2.2 The consultation asks respondents to comment against a set of criteria, including:

- whether proposed councils are based on sensible geographies and economic areas
- their ability to deliver economic, social and public service outcomes
- whether the councils are the right size to be efficient, resilient and financially sustainable
- their ability to deliver high-quality public services
- whether proposals reflect local views and meet local needs
- the extent to which they would support devolution
- how they would enable strong community engagement and neighbourhood empowerment

2.3 Town and parish councils are recognised consultees in this process and are invited to submit their views.

2.4 Members may also submit their own individual responses to the consultation should they wish to do so. This report relates solely to agreeing the Council's corporate response.

3. Draft Response

3.1 A draft consultation response is attached to this report. It sets out how the proposals may affect Ringwood in relation to:

- local identity and the coherence of the New Forest area
- geography and rural service patterns
- the role of town and parish councils in democratic representation
- service delivery and existing local operational responsibilities
- financial resilience and proportionality of scale
- neighbourhood governance and community engagement
- the implications of the separate Option 1A boundary proposal

4. Completion of the Government Questionnaire

4.1 In addition to accepting written submissions, the Government has provided an online questionnaire consisting of scoring questions and statements linked to the statutory criteria. Once members have agreed the Council's written response, the Town Clerk will complete the online questionnaire on the Council's behalf, ensuring that the Council's agreed position is consistently reflected.

4.2 It is not proposed that members work through the questionnaire line-by-line during the meeting, as the written response captures the Council's reasoning in full and provides the basis for the scoring selections required by the online form.

5. Publicity and Communication with Residents

- 5.1 Any external communication by the Council about the consultation should comply with the Code of Recommended Practice on Local Authority Publicity. This requires that all information issued by the Council is factual, objective and politically neutral.
- 5.2 The Council may publicise the existence of the consultation, explain how residents can respond, and state the Council's formally agreed corporate position. However, the Council must not use publicity to encourage or persuade residents to support any particular option or to adopt the Council's view.
- 5.3 Individual councillors may express personal opinions, but these should not be presented as the Council's position unless formally resolved.
- 5.4 If members approve a final consultation response, the Council will publish a short factual notice advising residents that the Government's consultation is open, how and where they can access the consultation materials, the deadline for responses, and that the Council has submitted its own corporate response (without promoting or advocating any outcome).

6. Recommendations

Members are asked to:

- 6.1 Consider the attached draft consultation response.
- 6.2 Agree any amendments required and approve the final version for submission.
- 6.3 Confirm the resident communication described in section 5, ensuring compliance with the Publicity Code.

For further information, contact:

Charmaine Bennett, Town Clerk
Direct Dial: 01425 484720
Email: Charmaine.bennett@ringwood.gov.uk



Twinned with
Pont Audemer

[DATE]

Consultation Response – Hampshire, Isle of Wight, Portsmouth and Southampton Local Government Reorganisation

Dear Consultation Team,

Ringwood Town Council welcomes the opportunity to contribute to the Government's consultation on proposed local government reorganisation across Hampshire and the Solent. This response reflects the Council's formally agreed position following discussion at a properly convened meeting.

Ringwood is a rural market town of around 14,000 residents, situated on the western edge of the New Forest. The Town Council employs 25 staff delivering services including grounds maintenance, community facilities and youth provision, a town information office, cemeteries and allotments, and a full programme of community events. Fourteen elected town councillors provide the closest level of local democratic representation, working directly with residents and in regular partnership with police, statutory agencies, and voluntary organisations.

After reviewing the options, Ringwood Town Council supports Option 1 – the formation of five unitary councils, including a Mid-Hampshire unitary that keeps the New Forest whole and reflects the rural character and shared identity of our area.

1. Local identity, sensible geography and community coherence

Ringwood's identity is bound to the New Forest: its rural landscape, its network of villages and small towns, its travel-to-work patterns, and its shared environmental, planning and service needs. The proposal for a Mid-Hampshire unitary council respects these ties and keeps the Forest together as a coherent community of place.

By contrast, several alternative options place rural New Forest communities within large, urban-led unitaries whose size and shape are driven primarily by population or financial considerations. Such structures do not reflect historical or present-day community identity, nor do they support ease or practicality of local service provision or decision-making. They risk creating a democratic deficit and reducing public engagement unless town and parish councils are explicitly empowered to bridge the gap.

2. Representation, local democracy and the role of town and parish councils

Town and parish councils are essential to local governance. They are directly accessible, embedded in their communities, and able to articulate local needs in a way larger authorities cannot replicate.



Twinned with
Pont Audemer

Ringwood Town Council

A

Ringwood Gateway
The Furlong
Ringwood
BH24 1AT

Telephone: 01425 473883
Town Clerk: Charmaine Bennett

Feedback from parish councils across Hampshire has shown widespread concern that large unitaries, particularly those incorporating major cities, could marginalise rural voices and weaken long-standing local relationships. This aligns with our own concerns.

Any reorganisation must therefore formally embed town and parish councils within governance arrangements, including any proposed Local Area Committees. Without clear structures, defined responsibilities, and genuine authority, there is a risk such committees become parallel or “talking shop” structures - an outcome repeatedly cautioned against in parish engagement sessions across the county.

Neighbourhood governance must build on the existing statutory tier of town and parish councils, not bypass it.

3. Delivering high-quality public services aligned to rural needs

Ringwood Town Council delivers a substantial range of local services that respond to rural priorities: management of green spaces, community facilities, public events, youth support, allotments and cemeteries, and close operational relationships with police and other partners.

A Mid-Hampshire unitary council, encompassing the New Forest, Test Valley, Winchester and East Hampshire, is the most proportionate structure for supporting these services. It reflects rural service patterns and maintains accessibility and responsiveness. A large city-centric unitary is less likely to preserve this alignment and may struggle to recognise rurality as a defining service driver.

4. Financial resilience, sustainability and strategic alignment

Evidence supporting the five-unitary model indicates that it offers a financially sustainable balance of scale, service delivery and identity. Splitting rural and urban pressures into separate unitaries reduces the risk that the priorities of major cities- housing density, regeneration, transport corridors- dominate budgets and strategic decision-making.

A Mid-Hampshire rural unitary is therefore better positioned to sustain financially resilient services that reflect local need.

5. Community engagement, empowerment and neighbourhood governance

The Government’s intention to “rewire the relationship between town and parish councils and principal authorities” and to strengthen community voice is a welcome principle.

However, detailed proposals for neighbourhood empowerment have not yet been articulated. Town and parish councils should therefore be central to early design work on these arrangements. They offer clear democratic mandate, established community networks, and



Twinned with
Pont Audemer

proven capability in delivering tangible local improvements. This should form the building block of any neighbourhood model.

Ringwood Town Council would welcome meaningful involvement in shaping these structures from the outset.

6. Boundary changes (Option 1A)

Ringwood Town Council does not support Option 1A. This option fragments the New Forest, separating several parishes into a Southampton/Eastleigh unitary and undermining the coherence of the Forest as a whole. It introduces boundary changes without a compelling public-service or community-identity justification and risks weakening rural representation in both resulting authorities.

Conclusion

For the reasons above, Ringwood Town Council supports Option 1 as the option that best reflects our identity, geography, and service needs; strengthens local democracy; and maintains the integrity of the New Forest. We would welcome continued engagement with MHCLG as the proposals develop, particularly in relation to neighbourhood governance and the role of town and parish councils.

Yours faithfully,

Town Clerk & Proper Officer

For and on behalf of Ringwood Town Council

FULL COUNCIL

17 December 2025

NFDC Asset Review and Engagement

1. Introduction and reason for report

- 1.1 To present Members with the list of New Forest District Council (NFDC) assets located within Ringwood, together with officer mapping against the criteria set out in NFDC's recent correspondence on their Strategic Asset Management Plan (SAMP) review. The report invites Members to discuss which assets they would wish the Town Council to register with NFDC as "*of interest for potential transfer*", or to explore further.

2. Background

- 2.1 NFDC have written to all Town and Parish Councils outlining work to review and optimise their property portfolio as part of the Strategic Asset Management Plan. This is an early-stage review, with no decisions yet taken, aimed at ensuring any future choices - whether by NFDC or by a future Unitary authority - are transparent and grounded in sound evidence.
- 2.2 The Council states that assets are being assessed in tranches and could, depending on evidence, be:
 - retained as they are,
 - adapted,
 - transferred or jointly managed, or
 - disposed of.
- 2.3 NFDC set out the broad retention criteria, noting that assets are most likely to remain with the Council if they:
 - are critical to operational or statutory service delivery,
 - generate net income, or
 - have a long-term lease or management agreement.
- 2.4 However, assets with *unique community value*, *strategic opportunity*, or *exceptional circumstances* may justify alternative approaches such as transfer or partnership models.
- 2.5 NFDC invite Town and Parish Councils to identify any assets they wish NFDC to:
 - "*specifically consider*", or
 - at minimum, "*record as of interest for potential transfer at a future stage*".

3. Officer Work Completed

- 3.1 Officers have:
 - obtained the Ringwood-specific NFDC asset list;
 - mapped each asset against NFDC's stated principles;
 - added What3Words locations;
 - included a short description of current use and any known lease or management arrangements (e.g., whether NFDC, RTC or a third party holds responsibility-members might like to cross reference with the map of Town Council owned land on the website)).
- 3.2 This is presented in Appendix A. It is offered to facilitate informed discussion by Members and does not imply any recommendation at this stage.

4. Issues for Member Discussion

- 4.1 *Long-term stewardship and safeguarding against future disposal*- When considering the asset list, members might like to consider the question: if a future Unitary authority were to dispose of, repurpose, or redevelop certain district-owned assets, what would that mean for Ringwood residents?
- 4.2 Given NFDC's explicit statement that the asset review is intended to assist either NFDC or the incoming Unitary authority in future decision-making, this long-term view is relevant.

4.3 Declaring an asset as “of interest” does **not** commit RTC to taking it on.

It simply registers our community’s interest and ensures future decision-makers are aware of local significance.

4.4 *Practicalities and realistic parameters for RTC acquiring major assets-* While NFDC’s invitation covers all assets, Members should be aware that some - particularly large, complex or high-value operational facilities- sit well beyond the practical and financial scope of RTC to acquire or run.

4.5 Large operational assets may carry costs far exceeding RTC’s annual budget and tax base and taking on such a facility would require a structural change in the Council’s financial model, staffing structure, and governance arrangements.

5. Immediate considerations for Members

5.1 Members are invited to consider:

- a. Which assets RTC wishes to register as “of interest”: This includes assets of high community value, those closely tied to RTC services, or those where future protection is a priority.
- b. Which assets require further information
- c. The principles guiding RTC’s approach. For example:
 - prioritising community benefit and safeguarding key spaces,
 - avoiding assets with unfunded or unknown liabilities,
 - seeking partnership or influence where full transfer is impractical.

6. Recommendations

Members are asked to:

1. Review the mapped asset list in Appendix A.
2. Agree which assets should be registered as “of interest for potential transfer”.
3. Confirm whether any additional information is required before submission.

For further information, contact:

Charmaine Bennett, Town Clerk
 Direct Dial: 01425 484720
 Email: Charmaine.bennett@ringwood.gov.uk

0	Street Name	Postcode	GEO X	GEO Y	Freehold Assets	Leasehold Assets	Building (B) Land Only (L) Land & Building (LB)	RTC notes	what3words
NFDC LAND (SHIRES CLOSE)	CHRISTCHURCH ROAD	BH24 3DJ	415305	104001	Y	LEASE OUT	L	Formers Nags Head Site (see number 22 on RTC map of land), leased from NFDC to RTC from 2006 at peppercorn. We maintain it and we believe (need to check) that there is a PROW alongside	https://w3w.co/amps.copiers.initiated
LAND	OPEN SPACE - GRASS/TREES/PATHS ETC - RINGWOOD	BH24 3ED	415811	103893	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC			Ten Acre Field .this land has been transferred from NFDC - documents from land registry only came in this month	punctured.dressy.sway
NFDC LAND	WREN CLOSE	BH24 3RD	416403	104660	Y	LEASE OUT	L	Forest Edge (number 9 on RTC map) we maintain it, leased to RTC in 2017	https://w3w.co/lanes.shut.yesterday
NFDC LAND	KINGFISHER WAY	BH24 3LP	416093	106397	Y	LEASE OUT	L	North Poulner Play Area (number 7 on RTC map). We have leasehold.	https://w3w.co/covertly.corn.return
LAND	OPEN SPACE - GRASS/TREES/PATHS ETC - RINGWOOD - PLAYING FIELD, ASH GROVE, RINGWOOD	BH24 1XT	416284	105001	Y			We are not sure why Ash Grove appears twice,same grid ref etc	
NFDC LAND	MANSFIELD ROAD	BH24 1HF	414802	105461	Y	LEASE OUT	L	picnic area next to roundabout (Rotary)	https://w3w.co/gathers.ulterior.organist
NFDC LAND	ANSON CLOSE	BH24 1XN	416426	106007	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC		L	According to our grounds team we do nothing at the moment to maintain this area	https://w3w.co/adjust.whimpered.daytime
PLAY AREA, ASH GROVE, RINGWOOD	ASH GROVE	BH241XT	416284	105001	Y	LEASE OUT	L	We have full responsibility as per lease - see 8 on RTC map	stream.broadens.fishery
RINGWOOD GATEWAY	THE FURLONG	BH24 1AT	414707	105351	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC (PART)	LEASE OUT (PART)	LB		https://w3w.co/lanet.tripping.folds
PUBLIC CONVENIENCES	THE FURLONG	BH24 1AT	414716	105353	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC		LB		https://w3w.co/high.vocally.edwardsage
NFDC CAR PARK	THE FURLONG	BH24 1AT	414739	105423	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC		L	generate a net income	
NFDC CAR PARK BLYNK BONNIE	CHRISTCHURCH ROAD	BH24 1DN	414862	105058	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC		L	generate a net income	
THE MEETING HOUSE	22 MEETING HOUSE LANE	BH24 1EY	414730	105281	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	long-term lease or management agreement.	
NO.S 1 TO 33 QUAKER COURT AND 55 TO 57 BICKERLEY ROAD	BICKERLEY ROAD	BH24 1DU	414920	104926	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	critical to operational or statutory service delivery	
RINGWOOD DEPOT	WELLWORTHY WAY	BH24 3AL	415345	104417	N - OCCUPIED BY NFDC	LEASE IN	LB	critical to operational or statutory service delivery	
SIGHT LINE FOR RINGWOOD HEALTH & LEISURE CENTRE	PARSONAGE BARN LANE	BH24 1PX	415418	105541	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC		L	critical to operational or statutory service delivery	regularly.usage.ranked
NFDC LAND	HEADLANDS BUSINESS PARK, BLASHFORD	BH24 3PB	415188	106489	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC		L	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
STILLWATER CARAVAN PARK SHOP	NORTH POULNER ROAD	BH24 3JY	416101	106695	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	long-term lease or management agreement.	

RINGWOOD HEALTH AND LEISURE CENTRE	PARSONAGE BARN LANE	BH24 1PX	415385	105302	N	LEASE IN - LEASE OUT	LB	critical to operational or statutory service delivery	
STILLWATER CARAVAN PARK LAUNDRY,SHOWERS,HALL	NORTH POULNER ROAD	BH24 3JY	416101	106695	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC	PITCHES INDIVIDUALLY LICENSED	LB	long-term lease or management agreement.	
SERVICE YARD A & B MEETING HOUSE CENTRE	MEETING HOUSE LANE	BH24 1BZ	414768	105230	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC		L	critical to operational or statutory service delivery	
UNIT A1, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415876	104592	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT A2, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415893	104592	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC		LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT B1, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415874	104642	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT B2, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415893	104643	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT B3, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415896	104660	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT B4, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415884	104660	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT B5 , PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415872	104660	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT C1, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415866	104713	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT C2, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415877	104713	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT C3, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415887	104713	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC		LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT C4, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415897	104713	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT D1, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415866	104753	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT D2, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415876	104753	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT D3, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415886	104752	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT D4, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415897	104753	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT E1, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415927	104750	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	

UNIT F1, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415932	104706	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC		LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT F2, PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415932	104687	Y - OCCUPIED BY NFDC		LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	
UNIT G1/G2 PLATINUM JUBILEE BUSINESS PARK	HOPCLOVER WAY	BH24 3FW	415932	104648	Y	LEASE OUT	LB	generate net income and have a long-term lease or management agreement.	