

OPEN SESSION: There were 4 members of the public present for planning applications.

## **MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING, TOWN & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE**

Held on Friday 1<sup>st</sup> June 2018 at 10.00am at Ringwood Gateway, The Furlong, Ringwood. BH24 1AT

PRESENT: Cllr Christopher Treleaven (Chairman)  
Cllr Tim Ward (Vice Chairman)  
Cllr Philip Day  
Cllr Christine Ford  
Cllr Gloria O'Reilly (from 10.08am)  
Cllr Tony Ring  
Cllr Angela Wiseman

IN ATTENDANCE: Jo Hurd, Deputy Town Clerk  
Nicola Vodden, Meetings Administrator

### **P/5504 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

The Deputy Town Clerk reported that apologies for absence had been received from Cllrs Briers and Edge.

### **P/5505 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were none.

### **P/5506 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING**

**RESOLVED:** That the Minutes of the Meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> May 2018, having been circulated, be approved and signed as a correct record.

### **P/5507 PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

Members considered the planning applications.

With the agreement of all Members, the following applications were brought forward for the benefit of the members of the public present:-

18/00320 & 18/00321 – Old Farm, Cowpitts Lane, Ringwood. BH24 3JX – Two storey extension; link to outbuilding to create single storey extension; rear dormer window; roof alterations to existing garage; access alterations; demolition of existing single storey extension. The agent presented the application detailing the 30% rule and its application in the circumstances of a Listed Building. He gave details on the appearance from the road and the proposal's limited impact on the street scene and neighbours. He explained the relationship between the main house with the extension and the carport and how changes to the roofline would improve the appearance. Members agreed to recommend

permission (P3) adding that the proposal should be considered as an exception to the 30% rule. Policy DP11 permits an exception to meet design considerations relating to the special character of a building. As this is a Listed Building and the excessive floor space is for the purpose of a car port, it is considered that a legal agreement could be entered into, to retain it for this use in perpetuity.

*Cllr O'Reilly joined the meeting at 10:08am.*

18/10578 – 50, Seymour Road, Ringwood. BH24 1SH – Roof alterations to extend first floor; two-storey rear extension; single storey side extension. The agent presented the application, which he said was driven by the applicants and could be considered a radical design. The design aimed to retain the look and feel of a bungalow from the street, with local characteristics and consideration had been given to prevent overlooking from the rear full length first floor windows. The neighbours had been consulted. Members agreed to recommend permission (P1), but would accept the Planning Officer's decision.

18/10552 – Land of 4, East View Road, Ringwood. BH24 1PP – House; parking. The applicant addressed Members highlighting the history of the plot to the side of No.2 and the resulting appeal decision. This proposal aimed to fit in with the street scene with a large hedge to the rear preventing overlooking. 3 parking spaces were provided. Members agreed to recommend refusal (R2), but would accept the Planning Officer's decision, adding that they accepted that a precedent had been set, with regards to the plot of land at 2, East View Road. The proposal would be cramped in the space available and the preference would be for a bungalow, to give a better balance to the street scene.

18/10600 – 91, Wessex Estate, Ringwood. BH24 1XD – Front dormer. The architect presented the application indicating that he had re-designed the proposal to overcome the objections raised to the previous application. Members agreed to recommend refusal (R2), but would accept the Planning Officer's decision. They felt that the proposal was out of keeping with the other properties in the immediate vicinity, being a part of a group of 6 similar properties, and compromised the rhythm and pattern of the street scene, as identified in the Ringwood Local Distinctiveness document.

The remaining applications were dealt with in the order they appear on the list.

**RESOLVED:** That the observations summarised in *Annex A* be submitted.

<b>ACTION Nicola Vodden</b>
-----------------------------

## **P/5508**

### **NEW FOREST DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN REVIEW – TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT**

The Chairman introduced the item and asked Members to consider whether they still wished to challenge the findings of NFDC's Transport Assessment and pursue an Independent Transport Assessment (*Annex B*). NFDC's view was that the increase in traffic, resulting from the proposed new housing sites, could be mitigated by minor changes to the current road network and the resulting increase in travel times was not significant enough to require any further improvements to the roads. Nothing more would be considered unless the town experienced complete gridlock.

It was noted that the new Local Plan would be considered by Cabinet at NFDC on 6<sup>th</sup> June. There were modifications to the initial Local Plan, with the proposed number of

new dwellings for Ringwood being reduced by 35% from a total of 1150 for sites P (north and south of Moortown Lane) and Q (behind the Elm Tree), to a minimum of 750. The area south of Moortown Lane, which is designated Green Belt, is no longer being put forward as a potential site for development, but it is proposed that this be a reserve site for a school, if it became necessary. The District Council would not be obtaining a further transport assessment in light of these changes.

The Local Plan also suggested that a new road could be possible from the A31 roundabout, across Site Q, linking to Crow Lane (which would require some improvements), Site P and Moortown Lane.

Members were concerned that the conclusions of any transport assessment would not be sufficient, nor produce enough evidence to refute the opinion of the NFDC's assessment and demonstrate to the Inspector, that the Local Plan is not sound. They questioned whether this would be the best use of public funds in the circumstances.

The Deputy Town Clerk indicated that official instruction had been issued to the consultant but no work had been undertaken, however Members asked for confirmation that there was no contractual obligation or penalty, should they not wish the independent transport assessment to be pursued.

Members concluded that they wished to keep their options open, however agreed not to pursue the independent transport assessment at this stage. There would be an opportunity to comment further as individual sites come forward for development.

**RECOMMENDED:** That an independent transport assessment not be pursued at this time.

<b>ACTION</b> Jo Hurd
-----------------------

**P/5509  
NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING**

Cllr Treleaven reported that he had attended a HALC seminar in relation to Neighbourhood Planning on 24<sup>th</sup> May 2018. The purpose of a Neighbourhood Plan (NP) is to gain more local input, beyond the strategic Local Plan and provides the opportunity to shape proposals for the town, at the detail stage. This is legally enforceable, unlike the Town Plan produced in 2008.

The seminar was a chance to hear from consultants and town/parish councils that had been through the process. Five councils in Hampshire had a Neighbourhood Plan (and ten were considering it), with costs varying from £10,000 - £45,000, two-thirds of which had been spent on consultants fees and the timeframe to complete a plan was, in their experience, between 1.5 – 2.5 years. There are grants available to assist with the costs involved and CIL receipts would increase from 15% to 25% when a NP is in place.

Provided it does not conflict with the Local Plan and is evidence based, a Neighbourhood Plan could include:-

- type of employment
- designate local green space
- embed ideas from non-statutory Town Plan
- parking provision beyond the standards
- minor alterations to the Green Belt
- redevelopment of areas
- renew/enhance community facilities
- types of housing
- additional housing sites

Any policies included in a NP would need to be supported with evidence and consultants would be required to undertake some of this work.

There would be areas that could not be included in a plan, for example, infrastructure provided by others, traffic management/network, extra schools/surgeries and there would be ongoing cost implications, as the NP would need to be regularly reviewed and updated.

**RESOLVED:** That Cllr Treleaven's verbal report following attendance at HALC's Neighbourhood Planning seminar be received.

**ACTION Jo Hurd**

**P/5510  
LINDEN HOMES DEVELOPMENT**

The Deputy Town Clerk summarised the notes of the meeting with Linden Homes on 30<sup>th</sup> May 2018, which had been circulated to all Members.

**RESOLVED:** That the notes of the meeting (Annex C) with Linden Homes on 30<sup>th</sup> May 2018 be received.

**ACTION Jo Hurd**

**P/5511  
HIGHWAYS MAINTENANCE ISSUES**

The Deputy Town Clerk indicated that the most up-to-date schedule would be circulated with the minutes.

Cllr Day commented that he was very pleased with the response time for the 'keep clear' signs, on the main roundabout, to be re-painted.

**RESOLVED:** That the update to highways maintenance issues be noted (*Annex D*).

**ACTION Jo Hurd**

**P/5512  
PROJECTS**

The Deputy Town Clerk referred Members to the project reports (*Annex E*).

A1 – Traffic Assessment – recommended for removal from the project list (*P/5508 refers*).

A5 – Neighbourhood Plan – Members felt that there was no longer a need to engage a consultant to perform a cost-benefit analysis. However, it was suggested that the funds remain earmarked for neighbourhood planning but not strictly for this purpose. The Deputy Town Clerk indicated that the subject of a Neighbourhood Plan would be brought before a future meeting of the Committee.

A6 – Sign Painting at Fridays Cross – Cllr Day declared a pecuniary interest as he had personally donated to the project. It was expected that the planning application would appear on next month's agenda and be determined by 21<sup>st</sup> July.

A2 & A4 – Cycle Stands and Human Sundial projects – These projects had stalled as a date was awaited from Hampshire Highways (HH), as to when it would conduct its own remedial work in the vicinity. It was intended to co-ordinate the works and provide a safe environment for the duration, along with insurance cover. There was disappointment that there had been no further information on timescales and Members feared that quotes given may have to be updated, due to the delays. The Deputy Town Clerk would continue chasing HH and it was suggested that given the number of people involved in the project, that the current situation be communicated to them, particularly as there had not been a meeting of the Town Centre Improvement Working Party since January.

B2 – Lynes Lane re-paving – It was noted that this relates to Ringwood Society's proposal for the tarmac in Lynes Lane to be removed and for the entire area from the High Street to be cobbled. This was considered a hugely expensive project and appears under projects for possible inclusion in the future.

**RESOLVED:** That the update in respect of projects be received.

<b>ACTION</b> Jo Hurd
-----------------------

There being no further business, the Chairman closed the meeting at 12.32pm.

RECEIVED  
27<sup>th</sup> June 2018

APPROVED  
6<sup>th</sup> July 2018

TOWN MAYOR

COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN

**Note: The text in the Action Boxes above does not form part of these minutes.**

Annex A to Planning, Town Environment Committee Minutes 1st June 2018  
**Ringwood Town Council - Planning Observations - NFDC**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Site Address</b>	<b>Proposal</b>	<b>Observation</b>	<b>Comments</b>
18/10445	Land Rear of The Old Cinema, Market Place, Ringwood. BH24 1AT	Use as car wash (Sui Genris)	Refusal (2)	Members agreed that any consent should be on a temporary basis and that the Environmental Health Officer is satisfied that the means of disposal of water are acceptable.
18/10450	3, Market Place, Ringwood. BH24 1AN	Display 1 non-illuminated fascia sign; 1 non illuminated projecting sign; 1 non illuminated ATM surround (Application for Advertisement Consent)	Permission (1)	
18/10511	Colten House, Wellworthy Way, Ringwood. BH24 3FE	Variation of condition 1 of planning permission 13/11451 to allow amended plan Nos to re-position and reduce footprint of warehouse building; 2.0 metre high fencing; re-site cycle and bin store and additional and revised siting of car parking spaces	Permission (1)	
18/10520	33, Northfield Road, Ringwood. BH24 1LS	2 roof lights	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

Number	Site Address	Proposal	Observation	Comments
18/10533	63, Parsonage Barn Lane, Ringwood. BH24 1PT	Single-storey front and rear extensions	Refusal (2)	The Committee had no objection to this proposal in principle, however required confirmation that the Parking Standards for a 5 - bed property are satisfied.
18/10552	Land of 4, East View Road, Ringwood. BH24 1PP	House; parking	Refusal (2)	Members accepted that a precedent had been set, with regards to the plot of land at 2, East View Road. They commented that the proposal would be cramped in the space available and the preference would be for a bungalow, to give a better balance to the street scene.
18/10570	2, Crow Lane, Crow, Ringwood. BH24 3DZ	Two-storey side extension; front porch	Permission (1)	
18/10578	50, Seymour Road, Ringwood. BH24 1SH	Roof alterations to extend first floor; two-storey rear extension; single-storey side extension	Permission (1)	
18/10599	1, Chichester Road, Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 1UE	Single-storey side and front extension; boundary wall and gate	Permission (1)	
18/10600	91, Wessex Estate, Ringwood. BH24 1XD	Front Dormer	Refusal (2)	Members felt that the proposal was out of keeping with the other properties in the immediate vicinity, being a part of a group of 6 similar properties, and compromised the rhythm and pattern of the street scene, as identified in the Ringwood Local Distinctiveness document.

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

<b>Number</b>	<b>Site Address</b>	<b>Proposal</b>	<b>Observation</b>	<b>Comments</b>
18/10611	43, Northfield Road, Ringwood. BH24 1LT	Front wall and pillars; gates; 1.5m high side boundary fence	Permission (1)	
18/10616	Ground Floor, 10, Christchurch Road, Ringwood. BH24 1DN	Use as Micro-pub (Class A4)	Permission (1)	
18/10617	The Cottage, 58, Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1JD	Replacement gate	Permission (1)	
18/10642	Land of 115, Eastfield Lane, Ringwood. BH24 1UW	Chalet bungalow; parking alterations	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision





## Ringwood Town Council - Planning Observations - NFNPA

Number	Site Address	Proposal	Observation	Comments
18/00275	Hurn Farm, Forest Lane, Hightown Hill, Ringwood. BH24 3HF	Application for a Certificate of Lawful Development for continued use of land as residential garden		No comment.
18/00320	Old Farm, Cowpitts Lane, Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 3JX	Two storey extension; link to outbuilding to create single storey extension; rear dormer window; roof alterations to existing garage; access alterations; demolition of existing single storey extension	Permission (3)	The Committee considered that the proposal should be considered as an exception to the 30% rule. Policy DP11 permits an exception to meet design considerations relating to the special character of a building. As this is a Listed Building and the excessive floor space is for the purpose of a car port, it is considered that a legal agreement could be entered into, to retain it for this use in perpetuity.
18/00321	Old Farm, Cowpitts Lane, Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 3JX	Two storey extension; link to outbuilding to create single storey extension; rear dormer window; roof alterations to existing garage; access alterations; demolition of existing single storey extension (Application for Listed Building Consent)	Permission (3)	The Committee considered that the proposal should be considered as an exception to the 30% rule. Policy DP11 permits an exception to meet design considerations relating to the special character of a building. As this is a Listed Building and the excessive floor space is for the purpose of a car port, it is considered that a legal agreement could be entered into, to retain it for this use in perpetuity.

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

Number	Site Address	Proposal	Observation	Comments
CONS/18/0433	Greyfriars Community Centre, 44 Christchurch Road, Ringwood. BH24 1DW	Fell 1 x Blue Atlas Cedar	Permission (1)	
CONS/18/0447	Waterditch, Cowpitts Lane, North Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 3JX	Fell 2 x Ash stems to height of fence Prune 1 x group of mixed species to 4m along track/boundary line	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

**PLANNING, TOWN & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE – 1 JUNE 2018  
NEW FOREST DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN REVIEW – TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT**

1. At an extraordinary meeting of the Town Council on 7<sup>th</sup> September 2016 to discuss the New Forest District Local Plan Review, Members agreed to make funds available for the Council to employ an independent transport consultant to carry out a desktop-based study to challenge the findings of the District Council's Transport Assessment.

That Assessment concluded that the existing road structure in Ringwood, with only minor mitigation proposals, is sufficient to accommodate all the traffic that would be generated by the proposed new housing sites P and Q.

Several companies were invited to quote to determine whether the assumptions made in the Transport Assessment as the base case of the present situation are valid, and whether the future projections of traffic movements and local effects on congestion and safety are realistic. More specifically, the companies were asked to:

- i) State how the existing road structure is managing traffic and provide a realistic projection of traffic that would result from the development of sites P and Q;
  - ii) Advise whether the mitigating measures proposed would be sufficient to prevent a severe impact on the road network; and
  - iii) Take account of the impact of the Highways England road widening scheme and address its impact on local roads.
2. £7,000 was included in the 2017/18 budget to fund this study, which was subsequently reduced to £4,000. It should be noted that the budget would also need to cover the cost of presenting any objection/representation to a Local Plan Inquiry.
  3. A traffic consultant was appointed in August 2017, and a quotation of £2,950 accepted. Due to the delay in the Local Plan Review, to date no work has been carried out by the consultant.
  4. On 6th June 2018, New Forest District Council will be agreeing the Local Plan Review for publication and submission to the Secretary of State for examination. Prior to formal submission, the document will be published and representations invited during a six week period. Any representations made will be forwarded to the Secretary of State and considered by the independent examiner. This six week period is expected to commence towards the end of June.
  5. It is not known at the time of writing whether the District Council has commissioned an update to its 2016 Transport Assessment, but this information should be available in time for the Committee meeting.
  6. However, at a recent meeting with District Council officers and the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Infrastructure, we were informed that no significant infrastructure changes were proposed in terms of the highway network, and that nothing other than some minor proposals to alleviate pinch points would be considered unless the town came to a complete gridlock, even if journey times increased significantly.
  7. There has also been a significant reduction in the number of houses proposed for Ringwood in the Local Plan Review.

# B

At the time the decision was taken to challenge the Transport Assessment, a total of 1150 additional houses were proposed (750 on site P (north and south of Moortown Lane) and 400 + 5 acres of employment on site Q (west of Nouale Lane).

In September 2017, these figures were revised down to 400 for site P and 250 for site Q, a total of 650.

8. Members are therefore asked to consider whether or not to continue with an independent Transport Assessment, given that the number of houses proposed has been reduced by over 40% and in light of the comments of the Portfolio Holder outlined in paragraph 6 above.

For further information, please contact:

Jo Hurd  
Deputy Town Clerk  
[jo.hurd@ringwood.gov.uk](mailto:jo.hurd@ringwood.gov.uk)  
01425 484721

## **Notes of Informal Meeting re. Linden Homes development on land at Crow Lane & Crow Arch Lane**

10am, 30<sup>th</sup> May 2018 at Ringwood Gateway

### **Present:**

Nigel Pugsley – Planning Consultant, Terence O'Rourke  
Robin Pearmain – Senior Planning Manager, Linden Homes  
Cllrs Day, Loose, Ring, Thierry, Treleaven, Ward and Wiseman  
Jo Hurd

### First Occupancy

The first homeowners took occupancy on Friday 25<sup>th</sup> May 2018. First occupancy triggers payment of some contributions, which will now be collected by NFDC.

It was noted that delivery of the SANGS was behind schedule and still under construction.

### Site Visit

It was agreed to arrange a site visit for Members. JH will coordinate this with Linden Homes.

### Construction and Traffic Management Plan – Phase 2

The CTMP agreed for Phase 1 appeared to be working well, with only minor issues caused by sub-contractors.

It was noted that although Matt Coe, the Site Manager, and the Community Line were available and accessible for members of the public, reported issues were not always dealt with.

There had been a breach of the approved working hours on occasion, with some Sunday working. RP agreed to raise this with the Site Manager. Members were of the view that no work, even internal works such as painting, should take place outside of the approved working hours, as there would still be disturbance caused by contractors vehicles etc.

There were no contractors' vehicles parking on the surrounding roads, which had been a concern prior to the start of development. It was noted that the brick storage and contractor parking areas within the site would need to be moved up to the north of the site when Phase 2 commences, and the Site Office would be moved to the west.

RP was made aware of the forthcoming closure of Christchurch Road and the impact this would have on Crow Lane. He agreed to pass this information on to the Site Manager so that it could be disseminated to all contractors and sub-contractors.

It was not yet known how construction traffic would access the site to the south of Castleman Trailway. Members hoped that this could be achieved within the site without the need to use Crow Arch Lane. RP would be discussing this with the construction team, but he did say that the route must be safe and cause the least disturbance for existing residents and road users.

### Boundary Hedges

Members were concerned about the lack of maintenance of the boundary hedges, and in particular the Crow Lane hedge. Linden Homes is responsible for all existing hedging during development and RP agreed to follow this up.

### Drainage

Original plans had shown that all surface water would be dealt with by infiltration. However, it would appear that a plan approved in December 2017 made provision for a pipe to drain into the ditch adjacent to Crow Lane (in the south east corner of the site). This pipe had now been constructed and there was a great deal of concern that this would completely undermine the work carried out by RTC and HCC to increase the capacity of the system and would lead to increased flooding, contrary to Condition 5 of the original planning consent (application number 13/11450). The Town Council had previously been given an assurance that no connection would be made to the ditch and it was questioned why this had been allowed.

There was also concern that 2 small pipes had been installed underneath the access road to the north east of the site and that this would be a hindrance to the flow.

RP agreed to speak to the drainage engineers on these two issues.

It was noted that HCC Flood and Water Management team was also investigating.

### Proposed Changes to Approved Scheme

In response to market demand, and comments in the local press about the affordability of private units on the site, Linden Homes now proposed to reduce the number of 4-bed dwellings on the site and replace them with smaller units. The revised proposals would result in an increase of 24 dwellings, to a total of 199. 50% of these dwellings would be affordable and all would have parking provision to meet the Parking Standards. A highway consultant had reviewed the plans and concluded that the impact on the local highway would be insignificant.

It was therefore proposed to revise the proposals for the Phase 3 application (17/11358), which had not yet been determined, to include the proposed changes in Phase 2 up to 175 units. This would leave an area of white land in the plot to the south of Castleman Trailway, for which a new application would be submitted for 24 dwellings.

NFDC had agreed to this approach and it was expected that applications would be submitted in June 2018.

The new application would be required to provide open space and SANGS, and a CIL contribution. It was noted that there would be a shortfall of SANGS, for which a contribution would be made for off-site provision.

A newsletter would be issued to residents and stakeholders to inform them of the proposed changes.

### Connection to main sewer

It was noted that the site had yet to be connected to the main sewer and this was expected to be resolved mid-June. In the meantime, sewerage was being removed from the site by tanker twice a week; this would be increased if necessary as occupancy increases. New

occupants were aware of this, and there were no health and safety issues associated with this practice.

There was some concern about the size of the pipe and RP agreed to look into this.

#### Chard Lane

It was noted that the street name sign for Chard Lane had now been erected on site. JH will seek to arrange a photocall with the family.



## Schedule of outstanding HIGHWAYS MAINTENANCE issues raised with Hampshire Highways

Ref. No.	Location in Ringwood	Nature of defect/issue	Additional comments	Comments from HCC
R/002	B3347 Mansfield Rd/Southampton Rd Roundabout	Repainting of "KEEP CLEAR" road markings	<b>COMPLETED</b> 14/05/2018	
R/003	B3347 Mansfield Rd/Southampton Rd Roundabout	Recurrent damage to turf surface by turning HGVs	Perimeter paving is preferred solution.	Not a high priority given for funding the level of damage to the network currently having to be dealt with. Would the TC consider a contribution?
R/004	A31/B3347 Intersection	Repainting of "KEEP CLEAR" road markings	<b>COMPLETED</b> 14/05/2018	
R/005	Market Place (Human Sundial paved area and surrounds)	Arrangements for implementation of agreed joint works	Specification of works and topographical drawing supplied by RTC. Agreement on costs and timing awaited from HCC.	Agreement in principle to share road space with HCC works. Timing and phasing yet to be agreed.
R/006	Town Centre (Pedal Car Grand Prix "circuit")	Sunken ironworks, potholes, loose pavers, etc.	Need to be fixed by 7 <sup>th</sup> July	Inspection and repairs to be arranged as for previous events. Orders have been raised 22/05/18
R/007	B3347 Mansfield Road/Southampton Rd Jct.	Safety barrier damaged by collision.	<b>COMPLETED</b> 23/04/2018	
R/008	5/7 Christchurch Road Kerb outside The Cellar (previously YoYo)	Replacement kerb required		Crew now assigned to Town Centre works from 30/04/18. This will be included but other works have a higher priority e.g. Meeting House Lane. Not yet programmed 22/05/18





Ref. No.	Location in Ringwood	Nature of defect/issue	Additional comments	Comments from HCC
R/009	Meeting House Lane	Replacement of damaged/missing pavers and repair of dropped ironwork		Crew now assigned to Town Centre works from 30/04/18. This will be given priority. Works now ordered with those for Pedal Car Grand Prix 22/05/18
R/010	High Street	Repair/replacement of loose/damaged/missing pavers on pavement and in road.		Crew now assigned to Town Centre works from 30/04/18. . Not yet programmed 22/05/18
R/011	The Furlong	Sunken blocks and some replaced with tarmac		Crew now assigned to Town Centre works from 30/04/18. Priority given to sunken areas. Not yet programmed 22/05/18
R/012	Southampton Road bridge over the A31	The surfacing around the expansion joints on the road bridge is breaking up and is in poor condition, with potholes	Logged on Hantsweb 10/5/18 ref. no 21364559	Site inspected. Not considered to be affecting safety so lower priority and works not yet ordered
R/013	Rod Lane	The road is in very poor condition following its use during the 8 week closure of Moortown Lane in March/April	Originally logged on Hantsweb 3/4/18, ref. no 21353649	Site inspected recently and surface in fair condition. No action required at this time 22/05/18.
R/014	Layby off Christchurch Road, adjacent to Moortown House	The road has several potholes that require repair, and stones are spilling out on to the main carriageway	Hantsweb ref. no 21351722	This is on the schedule for the 'Pothole Busters'

**Schedule of outstanding FLOOD ALLEVIATION issues raised with Hampshire Highways**

<b>Ref. No.</b>	<b>Location in Ringwood</b>	<b>Nature of defect/issue</b>	<b>Additional comments</b>	<b>Comments from HCC</b>
F/001	Rod Lane	Clearance of ditch	Action agreed at Flood Action Working Party on 28/09/2017	Ditch is clear and running but there is an order for it to be trimmed
F/002	Bottom of Crow Hill	Ditch to the east of Crow Crossroads on the south side of the road to be cleared and piped	“	To be re-visited over the coming summer
F/003	Moortown Lane	Flood Relief Drain to be brought back in to use	“	The drain has been fully surveyed and cleaned and can now be brought back into use. Suggestion is to not fully open valve at first in order to determine effects on system downstream
F/004	Crow Stream	Roadside verges – sections where there is no verge are dangerous in areas where the road is narrow and when the stream is in flood.	“	Verge markers have been provided and installed by local volunteers. No opportunity exists to widen or realign the road.
F/005	Crow Stream	Raising of deflector wall at confluence of watercourses to SW of Crow Crossroads		Work due to start in w/c 04/06/18





Item No.	Name	Recent developments	Resource use				Finish in 2018-19?	Notes
			Finance			Staff time		
			Budget	Spent to date	Predicted out-turn			
Projects with budgetary implications (bids included in 2018-19 budget)								
A1	Traffic Assessment	Separate item on agenda to decide whether or not to proceed with this assessment	£4,000 (Provision)	£0	£2,950	Moderate	Probable	Local Plan Review pre-submission consultation due June/July 2018 Budget revised from £7,000
A2	Cycle Stands	Included in plans for Market Place improvements	£500 (Provision)	£0	£500	Minimal	Probable	Awaiting choice/availability of site
A3	Crow Stream	Annual flail, clearance and spraying	£1,020	£0	£1,020	Moderate	Probable	
A4	Human Sundial	Project to repair and restore the Human Sundial and surrounding area in the Market Place	£8,000	£0	£8,000	Moderate	Probable	Project overseen by Town Centre Working Party To be funded by CIL receipts (Agreed by P&F 13/12/18 (F/5518))
A5	Neighbourhood Plan	Consultant to be engaged to undertake cost-benefit analysis of preparing a Plan	£3,000	£0	£3,000	Moderate	Probable	
A6	Sign Painting at Friday's Cross	Planning application submitted 22/05/2018	£400	£0	£400	Minimal	Probable	Ringwood Society project, with contribution and support from RTC
A7	Street-lighting in Kings Arms Lane	Work not carried out as expected as pole disconnected from mains – awaiting details of other options and outline costs from HCC/SSE	£0	£0	£800	Minimal	Possible	To be funded by CIL receipts (Agreed by P&F 19/10/17 (F/5497)) HCC agreed to adopt for maintenance purposes.
Projects with budgetary implications (not included in 2018-19 budget but added since)								
B								

Projects with no budgetary implications



Item No.	Name	Recent developments	Resource use				Finish in 2018-19?	Notes
			Finance			Staff time		
			Budget	Spent to date	Predicted out-turn			
C1	Pedestrian Crossings Christchurch Road	HCC completed feasibility of 2 crossings (north and south of Lidl roundabout) – awaiting approval prior to design and implementation				Minimal	Probable	Pressure to be maintained for additional crossings further south
C2	A31 improvement scheme	HCC allocated resources to carry out feasibility study to inform bid for designated funds				Moderate	Unlikely	Might involve use of developer contributions in addition to contributions from Highways England
C3	Moortown drainage improvements					Minimal	Probable	To be carried out and funded by HCC



Item No.	Name	Brief description & notes (define scope and quality requirements)	Resource requirements						Budget Bid Priority (specify number)
			Finance			Time and attention			
			RTC recurring cost	RTC non-recurring cost	Other source	Members	Staff	Others	
Projects with budgetary implications (for inclusion as bids in 2019-20 budget)									
A									
Projects with budgetary implications (for possible inclusion as bids in later budgets)									
B1	Rear of Southampton Road	To improve the aspect of the rear of buildings in Southampton Road to Meeting House Lane (Ringwood Society)	£0	£?	£?				
B2	Lynes Lane re-paving	Remove street features and re-pave (Town Centre Working Party/Ringwood Society)	£0	£?	£?				
Projects with no budgetary implications									
C									